ZOOLOGISCHE MEDEDELINGEN

UITGEGEVEN DOOR HET

RIJKSMUSEUM VAN NATUURLIJKE HISTORIE TE LEIDEN (MINISTERIE VAN WELZIJN, VOLKSGEZONDHEID EN CULTUUR)

Deel 59 no. 10

17 juli 1985

ISSN 0024-0672

NOTES ON GEKKO NOMENCLATURE (SAURIA: GEKKONIDAE)

by

ARNOLD G. KLUGE

Kluge, A. G.: Notes on gekko nomenclature.

Zool. Med. Leiden 59 (10) 17-vii-1985: 95-100. — ISSN 0024-0672.

Key words: Gekkonidae; nomenclature.

A review is given of the tortuous history of the name Gonydactylus Kuhl and Van Hasselt, 1822. It is concluded that the name is invalid. Goniodactylus and Gonyodactylus are unjustified emendations. As type-species Cyrtodactylus pulchella Gray, 1827, is selected, making Cyrtodactylus Gray, 1827, a junior objective synonym and protecting Tarentola Gray, 1825.

A lectotype for Cyrtodactylus marmorata Gray, 1831, is designated.

The nomenclatural consequences of the subgeneric division of Cyrtopodion Fitzinger, 1843, are examined.

A. G. Kluge, Museum of Zoology and Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, U.S.A.

Numerous amphibians and reptiles were collected on Java by Heinrich Kuhl and Johan Coenraad van Hasselt. The specimens were received by the Galérie zoologique du Museum des Pays-Bas (now Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden; RMNH), and they were to have been the basis for H. Boie's "Erpétologie de Java" (Schlegel, 1826). Boie prepared a manuscript and several plates based on the Java collection; however, that work was never published. Kuhl and Van Hasselt summarized some of their early discoveries in a letter posted from Java on July 18, 1821. This letter was in fact published, and several taxa, including the genus group name *Gonydactylus*, were described therein for the first time. The validity of these names requires careful consideration, especially in view of their subsequent tortuous histories.

Gonydactylus was first published Feb. 15, 1822, by Kuhl & Van Hasselt (1822: 102). The description, in Dutch, when translated into English, reads "a new animal enabled us to form a new sub-genus Gonydactylus (γόνο, knee), which is closest to the Phyllouren Cuv.; but it differs by the shape of its tail." The prefix gony is Greek, for knee, node, or joint, which was apparently intended to emphasize the bent-toed nature of this gekko. The material on which the description was based can be assumed to have been collected on

Java; however, no species was described or designated. The same letter, and generic description, from Kuhl and Van Hasselt also appeared in Van Swinderen (1822: 475). Gonydactylus seems only to have been recorded subsequently by Agassiz (1846), Neave (1939), Schulze, et al. (1932) and Sherborn (1922). According to Art. 12.b.5 (ICZN, 1985), Gonydactylus Kuhl & Van Hasselt is an invalid genus group name because it was not accompanied by a description or a definition of the taxon that it denoted, nor by an indication. Locality, Java in this case, is specifically excluded from being a valid indication (Art. 12.c).

Schlegel (1826: 235; 1827: 290) summarized Boie's taxonomic conclusions and used the name *Goniodactylus* Kuhl, but without providing a generic description. *Goniodactylus* must therefore be treated as an unjustified emendation of *Gonydactylus* Kuhl & Van Hasselt (ICZN, 1985: Art.33.b.iii; see also Gray, 1842: 58; 1845: 171; and below). Although *Goniodactylus* Schlegel is the name that has been subsequently cited in the herpetological literature (e.g., Wermuth, 1965), it is regarded as a junior objective synonym of *Gonydactylus* Kuhl & Van Hasselt. Further, I consider Wagler's (1830: 144) *Gonyodactylus* and Fitzinger's (1843: 92) *Gonyodactylus* to be unjustified emendations of *Gonydactylus* Kuhl & Van Hasselt, and junior objective synonyms as well.

Wermuth (1965: 11) clearly viewed Gray's (1842) Goniodactylus as a new genus. If correct, Goniodactylus Gray is a senior subjective synonym of Cnemaspis Strauch and a junior homonym of Goniodactylus Schlegel. I do not agree with Wermuth's conclusion, because all of the new genera in Gray's (1842) paper were printed in capital letters and explicitly referred to a family or tribe; neither of these characteristics apply to Gray's Goniodactylus. I believe Gray was employing Goniodactylus Boie (in Schlegel, 1826), and it is, thus, another use of Schlegel's unjustified emendation of Gonydactylus Kuhl & Van Hasselt.

Schlegel (1826) designated a new species, G[oniodactylus]. marmoratus, and attributed it to Kuhl, but it must be considered a nomen nudum in the absence of a description. Fitzinger (1826: 47) also referred to a gekko from Java as marmoratus, a nomen nudum as well, but he attributed the name to Boie. It seems that both Schlegel and Fitzinger were aware of Boie's unpublished manuscript, in which he described the gekko in question as "Gonyodactylus Kuhl" and "Gonyodactylus marmoratus" (pl. IX, figs. 3-4). The first valid description of marmoratus appeared as a Cyrtodactylus, where Gray (1831a: 51) presented it as "Marbled Cyrtodactyle. Marmoratus Gonyodactylus, Kuhl. MSS. Ash brown, marbled with darker spots, beneath ash. Java." I consider the peculiar way of joining specific and generic names to be a lapsus, or per-

haps this was Gray's labored attempt (1831b: vii; see below) to follow Temminck's request. According to Brongersma (1934: 169), the syntypes of marmoratus are in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (2331 was cited), and he listed several other conspecifics collected by Kuhl and Van Hasselt in the Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden. Brongersma was incorrect in thinking that Duméril & Bibron (1836: 426) were the original describers of marmoratus and, thus, the Paris series can not be treated as syntypes, without further consideration. Additionally, Guibé (1954) did not refer to the Kuhl and Van Hasselt specimens in the catalogue of lizard types in the Paris Museum.

While there never seems to have been any confusion as to the application of the binomial G. marmoratus Gray (1831a) to the only Java gekko with undilated digits (Brongersma, 1934), it is not clear what material Gray based his diagnosis on. Circumstantial evidence suggest that he derived it from the Kuhl and Van Hasselt collection in the Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden. Gray is known to have visited several European natural history museums (Gray, 1831a: 1, footnote), and, among those, Leiden is the one that seems to best explain the origin of his "Kuhl. MSS." reference. Gray clearly reiterated that he visited Leiden (1831b: vii), and that "... in each of these museums all the specimens were intrusted to me, to describe, draw, or examine them, as might best suit my purpose, without any restraint, except that at Leyden, Herr Temminck requested I would indicate in what Museum I had seen it, and the name under which it was there described, a rule which I hope I have faithfully kept." Thus, I believe it is safe to assume that whenever the names Kuhl, Boie, or Schlegel appear in combination with MSS that Gray examined representative specimens while at Leiden. Therefore, I take this opportunity to designate an adult male (RMNH 2710a.1) from RMNH 2710a, series of six specimens collected by Kuhl and Van Hasselt on Java, as the lectotype of Cyrtodactylus marmoratus Gray (1831a). The lectotype has a snoutvent length of 75 mm, and the tail, which is original and complete, is 74 mm. The preanal-femoral pores (L/R) are 27 and 26. The lectotype is not a perfect match for Boie's manuscript illustration of G. marmoratus (pl. IX, figs. 3-4); however, it is quite similar in size and color pattern (two rows of dark brown spots).

Gray (1827: 56) described *Cyrtodactylus*, and listed the name *C. pulchella* as the type-species. Only a short time later, Hardwicke & Gray (1827: 224) redescribed that genus and species; however, the first description stands for both names because "a single combined description of a new nominal genus and a new nominal species... provides an indication for each name" (ICZN, 1985: Art. 12.b.vi). *Goniodactylus* is usually considered a junior subjective syn-

onym of Cyrtodactylus (Wermuth, 1965: 46). However, it appears that no one has validly selected a type-species for Gonydactylus Kuhl & Van Hasselt (1822), and until such action is taken it should not be treated as a synonym of Cyrtodactylus Gray. Wagler (1830) included two species in Gonydactylus Kuhl, Gecko annulatus Geoffr. and Cyrtodactylus pulchellus [Hardwicke &] Gray (1827: 224; in erratum for Cyrtodactylus pulchella Gray, 1827: 56), and these are the only names eligible for subsequent designation as type-species, because they are the first valid species subsequently referred to the genus (ICZN, 1985: Art. 69.a). Gecko annulatus is clearly a lapsus for annularis (Geoffroy, 1827), a valid species of *Tarentola* Gray, 1825. Wagler's reference to the authorship of annulatus as "Geoffr. Descript, de l'Eg." excludes it from being Kuhl & van Hasselt's (1822) Gecko annulatus from Java. Thus, Gonydactylus could be regarded as a senior synonym of either Cyrtodactylus or Tarentola. While Cyrtodactylus has been widely employed in the past 25 years, Tarentola has had a much longer consistent usage as a senior synonym. Therefore, in order to protect Tarentola, pulchella Gray (1827) is selected as the type-species of the genus Gonyodactylus. This designation also makes Gonydactylus Kuhl & Van Hasselt a senior objective synonym of Cyrtodactylus.

Fitzinger (1843: 93) described the subgenus Cyrtopodion for certain straight-toed gekkos, which included Gonyodactylus cyprius (Fitzinger, 1843) and G. scaber (Heyden). Fitzinger simply listed the name Gonyodactylus cyprius and, in the absence of a description, it must be considered a nomen nudum. Wermuth (1965) placed cyprius in the synonymy of Gymnodactylus kotchyi fitzingeri Stepanek. Heyden's scaber stands as the type-species of the genus Cyrtopodion, by monotypy.

Recently, Szczerbak & Golubev (1977, 1984) described the following generic-subgeneric names: Mediodactylus (type-species kotschyi Steindachner), Mesodactylus (type-species kachhensis), and Tenuidactylus (type-species caspius). The following additional species were referred to these three groups: Mediodactylus amictopholis (Hoofien), M. heterocercus (Blanford), M. russowii (Strauch), M. sagittifer (Nikolskij), and M. spinicauda (Nikolskij); Mesodactylus agamuroides (Nikolskij), M. elongatus (Blanford), M. montiumsalsorum (Annandale), M. scaber (Heyden), M. watsoni (Murray); Tenuidactylus fedtschenkoi (Strauch), T. longipes (Nikolskij), and T. turcnemicus (Szczerbak). Szczerbak & and Golubev (1984) also tentatively referred brevipes (Blanford) to Mediodactylus. Szcerbak & Golubev (1984) placed all of these species in the genus Tenuidactylus; however, Fitzinger's Cyrtopodion is the oldest name available for that assemblage. Mediodactylus and Mesodactylus are junior objective or subjective synonyms of Cyrtopodion as well, and only Mediodactylus and Tenuidactylus are available subgeneric-group names.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Johannes Klompen for assistance with translations. Marinus S. Hoogmoed, Jay M. Savage and Andrew F. Stimson provided invaluable reviews of the manuscript. Their understanding of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature helped immeasurably to sharpen my claims. Stimson drew several important issues to my attention, and I am particularly indebted to him. Nevertheless, I take all responsibility for the conclusions reached in this paper.

LITERATURE CITED

Agassiz, L., 1846. Nomenclatoris Zoologici, Index Universalis. Soloduri.

Brongersma, L. D., 1934. Contributions to Indo-Australian herpetology. Leyden.

Duméril, A. M. C., & G. Bibron, 1836. Erpétologie Générale ou Histoire Naturelle compléte des Reptiles. Vol. 3. Paris.

Fitzinger, L., 1826. Neue Classification der Reptilien. Wien.

Fitzinger, L., 1843. Systema Reptilium. Amblyglossae. I. Vindobonae.

Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, E. & I., 1827. Description des Reptiles qui se trouvent en Egypte. Commission d'Egypte. Description de l'Egypte etc. Histoire Naturelle, vol. I, pt. I: 115-184.

Gray, J. E., 1825. A synopsis of the genera of reptiles and amphibia, with a description of some new species. — Ann. Philos. (2)10: 193-217.

Gray, J. E., 1827. A synopsis of the genera of saurian reptiles, in which new genera are indicated, and the others reviewed by actual examination. — Philos. Mag. 2(7)11:54-58.

Gray, J. E., 1831a. A synopsis of the species of the class Reptilia. In Edward Griffith: The animal kingdom arranged in conformity with its organization, by the Baron Cuvier. Vol. 9: 1-110. London.

Gray, J. E., 1831b. Synopsis reptilium; or short descriptions of the species of reptiles. Pt. I.- Cataphracta. Tortoises, Crocodiles, and Enaliosaurians. London.

Gray, J. E., 1842. Description of some new species of reptiles, chiefly from the British Museum collection. — Zool. Miscel: 57-59.

Gray, J. E., 1845. Catalogue of the specimens of lizards in the collection of the British Museum. London.

Guibé, J., 1954. Catalogue des types des lézards. Paris.

Hardwicke, [T.] & J. E. Gray, 1827. A synopsis of the species of saurian reptiles, collected in India by Major-General Hardwicke. — Zool. Jour. 3 (10) 22: 213-229.

ICZN (International Code of Zoological Nomenclature) 1985. 3rd. ed. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature.

Kuhl, [H.] & [J. C.] van Hasselt, 1822. Uittreksels uit brieven van de Heeren Kuhl en van Hasselt, aan de Heeren C. J. Temminck, Th. van Swinderen en W. de Haan. — Algemeene Konst- en Letter-Bode, Feb. 15, (7): 99-103.

Neave, S. A., 1939. Nomenclator zoologicus. London.

Schlegel, H., 1826. Notice sur l'Erpétologie de l'ile de Java; par M. Boie. — Bull. Sci. Nat. Geol. 9: 233.240

Schlegel, H., 1827. Erpetologische Nachrichten. — Isis von Oken, March [?], 20: 281-294.

Schulze, F. E., W. Kükenthal, & K. Heider, 1932. Nomenclator animalium generum et subgenerum. Berlin.

Sherborn, C. D., 1922. Index animalium. London.

Swinderen, T. van, 1822. Aus einem Schreiben von Dr. Kuhl und Dr. van Hasselt auf Java. — Isis von Oken, April [?] (4): 472-476.

Szczerbak, N. N., & M. L. Golubev, 1977. Systematics of the Palearctic geckos (genera Gymnodactylus, Bunopus Alsophylax). In Russian. — Aca. Sci. USSR Proc. Zool. Inst. (herpetological collected papers) 74: 120-133.

Szczerbak, N. N., & M. L. Golubev, 1984. On generic assignment and generic structure of the Palearctic Cyrtodactylus lizard species (Reptilia, Gekkonidae, Tenuidactylus gen. n.). In Russian. — Vestnik Zoologii 2: 50-56.

Wagler, J., 1830. Naturliches System der Amphibien. München.

Wermuth, H., 1965. Liste der rezenten Amphibien und Reptilien: Gekkonidae, Pygopodidae,

Xantusiidae. — Das Tierreich 80: 1-246.