BEAUFORTIA

INSTITUTE OF TAXONOMIC ZOOLOGY (ZOOLOGICAL MUSEUM) UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Vol. 41, no. 17

October 22, 1990

LICHOMOLGID COPEPODS OF THE GENUS SCHEDOMOLGUS (POECILOSTOMATOIDA) ASSOCIATED WITH THE SCLERACTINIAN CORAL ACROPORA CYMBICYATHUS (BROOK) IN NEW CALEDONIA

ARTHUR G. HUMES

Boston University Marine Program, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

Two poecilostomatoid copepods (Lichomolgidae) are recorded from the hard coral Acropora cymbicyathus in New Caledonia: Schedomolgus tenuatus n. sp. and Schedomolgus lobophorus (Humes & Ho, 1968).

INTRODUCTION

Relatively few poecilostomatoid copepods have been recorded as associates of the common shallow-water Indo-Pacific corals belonging to the genus Acropora. Several species of Xarifia have been described from species of Acropora (see Humes, 1985; Humes & Dojiri, 1982; Humes & Ho, 1968a). Although siphonostomes. have often been collected from species in this coral genus by the author, none have as yet identified described. been or Among lichomolgids, two species of Spaniomolgus Humes & Stock, 1972, are known from Acropora (see Humes, 1979; Humes & Ho, 1968b). Localities where lichomolgids have been recorded from Acropora include northwestern Madagascar, New Caledonia, and Enewetak Atoll in the Marshall Islands.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The copepods were collected by isolating the freshly collected coral in sea water in plastic

bags. Later sufficient 95% ethyl alcohol was added to make approximately a 5% solution. After thoroughly rinsing the coral, the sea water was passed through a fine net and the copepods, dislodged from the surface of the polyps, were retrieved from the sediment retained.

All measurements and dissections were made on specimens in lactic acid. The figures were drawn with the aid of a camera lucida. The letter after the explanation of each figure refer to the scale at which it was drawn. The abbreviations used are: A_1 = first antenna, A_2 = second antenna, L = labrum, MXPD = maxilliped, and P_1 = leg 1.

Order Poecilostomatoida Thorell, 1859 Lichomolgidae Kossmann, 1877 Schedomolgus Humes & Stock, 1972

Schedomolgus tenuatus n. sp.

Type material. 11 QQ, 20 O'O' from Acropora cymbicyathus (Brook), in 2 m, Ricaudy Reef, near Noumea, New Caledonia, 22°19'00"S, 166°26'44"E, 25 June 1971. Holotype Q, allotype, and 23 paratypes (6 Q, 17 OO) deposited in the Zoölogisch Museum, Amsterdam.

Female. Body (fig. 1a) slender. Length (not including setae on caudal rami) 1.39 mm (1.32-1.47 mm) and greatest width 0.42 mm (0.31-0.46 mm), based on 10 specimens in lactic acid. Greatest dorsoventral thickness 0.31 mm. Segment bearing leg 1 set off from cephalosome by transverse dorsal suture. Epimera of segment bearing leg 3 more expanded than other epimera. Ratio of length to width of prosome 1.70:1. Ratio of length of prosome to that of urosome 1:1. Dorsal surface of cephalosome with many small sensilla (fig. 1b).

Segment bearing leg 5 (fig. 1c) $104 \times 238 \,\mu\text{m}$. Genital segment longer than wide, hourglassshaped, and slightly arched dorsoventrally (fig. 1d). Length 236 μ m, greatest width of anterior part 151 μ m, width at constriction 96 μ m. Genital areas situated dorsoventrally. Each area (fig. 1d) with 2 very small setae. Three postgenital segments from anterior to posterior 112×104 , 75 × 88, and 104 × 88 μ m. Anal segment with row of small posterolateral ventral spinules near insertion of both caudal rami.

Caudal ramus (fig. 1e) elongate, 180×31 µm, ratio 5.8:1. Outer lateral seta 55 µm, dorsal seta 9 µm, outermost terminal seta 26 µm, and innermost terminal seta 34 µm, all smooth. Two median terminal setae 55 µm (outer) and 65 µm (inner), both weakly jointed and having few delicate lateral setules.

Egg sac (fig. 1f) globular, $290 \times 240 \ \mu m$, containing 6 or 7 eggs, each egg 120-135 μm in diameter.

Rostrum (fig. 1g) broadly linguiform, First antenna (fig. 1h) 230 μ m long and 7segmented. Lengths of segments (measured along their posterior nonsetiferous margins) as follows: 18 (34 μ m along anterior margin), 61, 17, 40, 39, 23, and 17 μ m, respectively. Formula for armature: 4, 13, 6, 3, 4 + 1 aesthete, 2 + 1 aesthete, and 7 + 1 aesthete. All setae smooth.

Second antenna (fig. 1i) 239 μ m long and 3segmented. Both first and second segments with minute inner seta (approximately 2 μ m). Third segment 60 μ m long, greatest width proximally 18 μ m, distinctly more slender in distal third, width here 9 μ m. Terminal claw 20 μ m.

Labrum (fig. 2a) with 2 broad posteroventral lobes. Mandible (fig. 2b) resembling that of congeners, with 2 very small digitiform processes on convex side of base. Paragnath small lobe. First maxilla (fig. 2c), second maxilla (fig. 2d), and maxilliped (fig. 2e) resembling in major respects those of *Schedomolgus arcuatipes* (Humes & Ho, 1968).

Ventral area between maxillipeds and first pair of legs (fig. 2f) very slightly protuberant.

Legs 1-4 (figs. 2g-i, 3a) with 3-segmented rami except for 2-segmented endopod of leg 4. Formula for armature as follows (Roman numerals indicating spines, Arabic numerals representing setae):

P ₁	coxa 0-1	basis 1-0	exp I-0;	I-1;	III,I,4
			enp 0-1;	0-1;	I,2,3
P ₂	coxa 0-1	basis 1-0	exp I-0;	I-1;	III,I,5
-			enp 0-1;	0-2;	1,II,3
P ₃	coxa 0-1	basis 1-0	exp I-0;	I-1;	III,I,5
-			enp 0-1;	0-2;	I,II,2
P ₄	coxa 0-1	basis 1-0	exp I-0;	I-1;	II,I,5
			enp 0-1;	II	

Outer seta on basis of all 4 legs minute. Spines on endopod of legs 1 and 2 hyaline except for small sclerotized proximal part. Leg 4 with inner coxal seta short, 18 μ m. Exopod 169 μ m. Endopod with first segment 35 × 29 μ m (40 μ m long including spiniform processes), plumose inner distal seta 68 μ m. Second segment 60 μ m (63 μ m with processes),

Fig. 1a-i Schedomolgus tenuatus n. sp., female. a, dorsal (scale A); b, portion of surface of cephalosome, dorsal (B); c, urosome, dorsal (B); d, genital segment showing genital area, lateral (C); e, anal segment and caudal ramus, dorsal (C); f, egg sac, dorsal (D); g, rostrum, ventral (B); h, first antenna, dorsal (E); i, second antenna, outer (E).







21 μ m wide proximally, 14 μ m wide distally. Two terminal barbed spines 44 μ m (outer) and 78 μ m (inner).

Leg 5 (figs. 1c, 3b) with long slightly arched free segment $260 \times 49 \ \mu\text{m}$ in dorsal view, but in another specimen $242 \times 43 \ \mu\text{m}$ in flat inner view. Two terminal setae 18 $\ \mu\text{m}$ and 30 $\ \mu\text{m}$. Adjacent dorsal seta minute. Free segment ornamented with many slender spinules along outer surface.

Leg 6 represented by 2 minute setae on genital area (fig. 1d).

Color of living specimens in transmitted light slightly tan with often reddish spots ventrally in prosome, eye red, egg sacs dark gray.

Male. Body (fig. 3c) slender. Length 1.19 mm (1.02-1.33 mm) and greatest width 0.32 mm (0.28-0.36 mm), based on 10 specimens in lactic acid. Greatest dorsoventral thickness 0.28 mm. Ratio of length to width of prosome 1.88:1. Ratio of length of prosome to that of urosome 1:0.97.

Segment bearing leg 5 (fig. 3d) $52 \times 146 \mu m$. Genital segment $226 \times 240 \mu m$. Four postgenital segments from anterior to posterior 49×79 , 68×77 , 52×68 , and $78 \times 73 \mu m$.

Caudal ramus as in female but smaller, $153 \times 28 \ \mu m$, ratio 5.46:1.

Rostrum as in female. First antenna similar to that of female but 3 long aesthetes added (at points indicated by dots in fig. 1h). Formula: 4, 13+2 aesthetes, 6, 3+1 aesthete, 4+1aesthete, 2+1 aesthete, and 7+1 aesthete.

Second antenna (fig. 3e) sexually dimorphic. Second segment with 2 balloon like hyaline processes on postero-inner surface and 3 or 4 saucer like processes borne on short stalks on inner margin. Labrum, mandible, paragnath, first maxilla, and second maxilla like those of female. Maxilliped (fig. 3f) similar to that of congeners. Claw 230 μ m long.

Legs 1-4 segmented and armed as in female, but third segment of endopod of leg 1 with I,I,4 (fig. 3g) and third segment of endopod of leg 2 (fig. 3h) with outer of 2 terminal spines modified (slightly recurved with strong spinules along outer edge). Spines on exopod of leg 1 somewhat less hyaline than in female.

Leg 5 (fig. 3i) with free segment $42 \times 13 \mu m$ in greatest dimensions. Two terminal setae $26 \mu m$ (outer) and $18 \mu m$ (inner). Adjacent dorsal seta $36 \mu m$.

Leg 6 (fig. 3j) posteroventral flap on genital segment bearing 2 small setae 18 μ m and 11 μ m.

Spermatophore not seen.

Color as in female.

Etymology. — The specific name *tenuatus*, past participle of the Latin verb *tenuo*, to make slender or diminish, alludes to the distally narrowed third segment of the second antenna.

Remarks. — At present, two species have been referred to the genus Schedomolgus, S. arcuatipes (Humes & Ho, 1968) and S. lobophorus (Humes & Ho, 1968). Both of these congeners differ from the new species in several respects. In them (1) the genital segment of the female is subquadrate, not longer than wide and hourglass-shaped as in S. tenuatus; (2) the first antenna is distinctly longer than the second antenna, instead of both antennae having nearly the same length as in S. tenuatus: (3) the third segment of the second antenna is not narrowed distally as it is in the new species; (4) the second segment of the second antenna of the

Fig. 2a-i. Schedomolgus tenuatus n. sp., female. a, labrum, ventral (scale F); b, mandible, posterior (G); c, first maxilla, posterior (G); d, second maxilla, anterior (F); e, maxilliped, posterior (F); f, area between maxillipeds and first pair of legs, ventral (C); g, leg 1 and intercoxal plate, anterior (C); h, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (C); i, leg 3 and intercoxal plate, anterior (C).

Fig. 3a-j. Schedomolgus tenuatus n. sp. Female. a, leg 4 and intercoxal plate, anterior (scale C); b, free segment of leg 5, flat inner view (C). Male. c, dorsal (A); d, urosome, dorsal (B); e, second antenna, postero-inner (F); f, maxilliped, inner (C); g, leg 1, anterior (C); h, third segment of endopod of leg 2, anterior (E); i, leg 5, ventral (G); j, leg 6, ventral (C).

male has two small protuberances and minute knobs, instead of two balloonlike processes and several stalked saucerlike processes as in *S. tenuatus*; and (5) the spines on the third segment of the endopod of leg 2 in the male are not modified.

In addition, in S. arcuatipes leg 5 in the female is strongly arched, and in S. lobophorus the first postgenital segment of the female and the second postgenital segment of the male have a ventral lobe.

All three species of Schedomolgus are associated with species of Acropora: S. arcuatipes with A. palifera (Lamarck) in Madagascar (Humes & Ho, 1968b); A. lobophorus with A. scherzeriana Brueggemann, A. cytherea Dana, and A. sp. in Madagascar (Humes & Ho, 1968b), A. florida (Dana) at Enewetak Atoll in the Marshall Islands (Humes & Stock, 1973), and A. cymbicyathus (Brook) in New Caledonia (present paper); and S. tenuatus with A. cymbicyathus (Brook) in New Caledonia (present paper).

Schedomolgus lobophorus (Humes & Ho, 1968)

Specimens examined. -1 Q, 1 σ from Acropora cymbicyathus (Brook) with same collection data as S. tenuatus and from same host colony.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The collection of the coral in New Caledonia and the subsequent study of the copepods were supported by grants from the National Science Foundation of the United States (GB 8381X, DEB 80 16421, and BSR 88 21979).

LITERATURE

- HUMES, A. G., 1979. Coral-inhabiting copepods from the Moluccas, with a synopsis of cyclopoids associated with scleractinian corals. Cah. Biol. mar. 20: 77-107.
- ---, 1985. A review of the Xarifiidae (Copepoda, Poecilostomatoida), parasites of scleractinian corals in the Indo-Pacific. Bull. mar. Sci. 36: 467-632.
- HUMES, A. G. & M. DOJIRI, 1982. Xarifiidae (Copepoda) parasitic in Indo-Pacific scleractinian corals. Beaufortia 32: 139-228.
- HUMES, A. G. & J.-S. Ho, 1968a. Xarifiid copepods (Cyclopoida) parasitic in corals in Madagascar. Bull. Mus. comp. Zool. 136: 415-459.
- ——, 1968b. Lichomolgid copepods (Cyclopoida) associated with corals in Madagascar. Bull. Mus. comp. Zool. 136: 353-413.
- HUMES, A. G. & J. H. STOCK, 1973. A revision of the family Lichomolgidae Kossmann, 1877, cyclopoid copepods mainly associated with marine invertebrates. Smithson. Contr. Zool. 127: i-v, 1-368.

Received: August 18, 1989

Institute of Taxonomic Zoology (Zoölogisch Museum), University of Amsterdam, P.O. Box 4766, 1009 AT Amsterdam, the Netherlands