BEAUFORTIA

A SERIES OF MISCELLANEOUS PUBLICATIONS INSTITUTE OF TAXONOMIC ZOOLOGY (ZOOLOGICAL MUSEUM) UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

No. 347

Volume 28

February 12, 1979

Poecilostome copepods associated with antipatharian coelenterates in the Moluccas

ARTHUR G. HUMES

Abstract

From the Moluccas Vahinius verbericolus n. sp. (Vahiniidae) is described from Cirripathes anguina and Paramolgus insectus (Humes, 1969) (Lichomolgidae) is recorded from Antipathes abies. These are the first records of copepods from Antipatharia outside of Madagascar, where four copepods are known from six host corals.

INTRODUCTION

Four poecilostome copepods are associated with six species of Antipatharia in Madagascar as follows:

Paramolgus constrictus (Humes, 1969) from Antipathes ericoides Pallas, Paramolgus insectus (Humes, 1969) from Antipathes abies (Linnaeus), Antipathes myriophylla Pallas, and Antipathes cf. spinescens Gray, Thamnomolgus robustus Humes, 1969, from A. abies, A. ericoides, A. myriophylla, and A. cf. spinescens, Vahinius petax Humes, 1967, from Stichopathes echinulata Brook, and from Antipathes longibrachiata (van Pesch) (Humes, 1969).

Until now no poecilostome copepods have been reported from antipatharians in the Pacific Ocean.

All figures were drawn with the aid of a camera lucida. The letter after the explanation of each figure refers to the scale at which it was drawn. The abbreviations used are: MD = mandible, $MX_1 = first maxilla$, $MX_2 = second maxilla$, MXPD = maxilliped, and $P_{3-5} = legs 3-5$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The copepods were collected by the author during the *Alpha Helix* East Asian Bioluminescence Expedition, which was supported by the National

Received: February 28, 1978

Science Foundation of the United States under grants OFS 74 01830 and OFS 74 02888 to the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, and grant BMS 74 23242 to the University of California, Santa Barbara. The study of the copepods was aided by NSF grant DEB 77 11879.

I thank Dr. W. Vervoort, Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden, for the identification of the antipatharian hosts. I am also greatly indebted to Mr. Thomas Forhan who collected the antipatharians while SCUBA diving.

> Vahiniidae Humes, 1967 Vahinius Humes, 1967 Vahinius verbericolus n. sp. Figs. 1—18

Type material. — 48 QQ, 24 JJ from two *Cirripathes anguina* Dana, in 24 m, southwestern side of Goenoeng Api, Banda Islands, Moluccas, 4°31'45"S, 129°51' 55"E, 25 May 1975. Holotype Q (ZMA 102.639), allotype (ZMA 102.640), and 40 paratypes (30 QQ, 10 JJ) (ZMA 102.641) deposited in the Zoölogisch Museum, Amsterdam; 18 paratypes (10 QQ, 8 JJ) in the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington; and the remaining paratypes in the collection of the author.

Female. — Body (figs. 1, 2, 3) elongate, with external segmentation weak or absent. Length (without setae on caudal rami) 0.84 mm (0.80—0.88 mm), greatest width 0.20 mm (0.19—0.21 mm), and greatest dorsoventral thickness in prosome 0.20 mm (0.19—0.21 mm), based on 10 specimens in lactic acid. Prosome somewhat sausage-shaped, stouter than tapered urosome. No external division between cephalosome and segment of leg 1 or between segment of leg 1 and that of leg 2. Segment of leg 3 and remaining segments weakly separated from each other by very indistinct sutures or delicate sclerites as in figures. Ratio of length to width of prosome 2.8:1. Ratio of length of prosome to that of urosome 1.7—2.0:1. (These ratios approximate.)

Genital segment with a dorsal sclerotized band (fig. 1) in anterior half enclosing two genital areas. Two setae which in other cyclopoids are situated on genital area here removed posteriorly and laterally from the area (fig. 4).

Caudal ramus (fig. 5) minute, $21 \times 11 \,\mu$ m, bearing six naked setae, longest 52 μ m.

Egg sac not seen.

Rostral area not developed.

First antenna (fig. 6) 4-segmented and 67 μ m long. Lengths of segments approximately 15, 29, 10, and 13 μ m respectively. Formula for armature: 2, 10, 4, and 9 + 1 aesthete. Longest terminal seta 39 μ m. All setae naked.

Second antenna (fig. 7) 94 μ m long including claw, with first segment large but remaining segments slender, their lengths along outer margins 22, 33, 5, and 22 μ m respectively. Formula: 1, 1, 1, and one claw. Terminal claw 12 μ m along its axis.

Labrum (fig. 8) broad, its posteroventral edge nearly straight, with two





Figs. 1—7. Vahinius verbericolus n. sp., female. 1, dorsal (A): 2, ventral (A); 3, lateral (A); 4, genital area, dorsal (B); 5, caudal ramus, dorsal (C); 6, first antenna, posterodorsal (C); 7, second antenna, postero-inner (C).



Figs. 8—14. Vahinius verbericolus n. sp., female. 8, oral area, ventral (D); 9, mandible, first. maxilla, and second maxilla, anteroventral (C); 10, maxillipeds and intermaxillipedal sclerite, posteroventral (C); 11, leg 1 and intercoxal plate, anterior (D); 12, exopod of leg 1, posterior (C); 13, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (D); 14, segments of legs 3—5, lateral (E).



Figs. 15–18. Vahinius verbericolus n. sp., male. 15, dorsal (A); 16, lateral (A); 17, maxilliped and intermaxillipedal sclerite, posterior (D); 18, urosome, ventral (F).

17

16

small patches of minute spinules laterally. Mandible (fig. 9) and first maxilla (fig. 9) styliform. Paragnath a small hyaline pointed process. Second maxilla (figs. 8, 9) elongate with a tripartite tip. Labium largely filling space between second maxillae (fig. 8). Maxilliped (figs. 8, 10) a small round knob. A distinct transverse sclerite between bases of maxillipeds.

Leg 1 (fig. 11) and leg 2 (fig. 13) prominent, with protopodal sclerites. Legs 3 and 4 vestigial (fig. 14) and represented only by three and two setae respectively. Spine and setal formula for legs 1—4 as follows (Roman numerals indicating spines, Arabic numerals representing setae): P, coxa 0—0 basis 1—0 exp I—0; I, II, 1

enp 2

$$P_2 \cos a 0 - 0$$
 basis 1 - 0 exp I - 0; I, I, 1
enp 1
 P_3 3
 P_4 2

15

Leg 1 (fig. 11) with intercoxal plate. Coxa and basis indistinctly separated. Exopod 2-segmented (fig. 12). First segment with outer spine having lateral lamellae. Second segment with a lamellate spine, two longer spines, and a seta arising on posterior surface. Endopod represented by two naked setae. Leg 2 (fig. 13) resembling leg 1 but only two spines on second segment of exopod and endopod represented by only one seta. Leg 3 (fig. 14) consisting of three setae and leg 4 (fig. 14) represented by two setae, all these setae about 40 μ m long. Leg 5 (fig. 14) situated laterally and consisting of three naked setae about $40 \,\mu m$ long. Near dorsal seta a weak sclerite covered with very small spinules.

Leg 6 represented by two setae near genital area (fig. 4).

Color in life in transmitted light opaque, prosome slightly orange-tan, eye red.

Male. — Body (figs. 15, 16) resembling that of female. In dorsal view of preserved specimens legs 1 and 2 more visible than in female. Length (excluding setae on caudal rami) 0.85 mm (0.70—0.92 mm), greatest width 0.18 mm (0.15—0.20 mm), and greatest dorsoventral thickness in prosome 0.18 mm (0.14—0.20 mm), based on 10 specimens in lactic acid. Ratio of length to width of prosome 3.2:1. Ratio of length of prosome to that of urosome 1.8:1.

Urosome segmented as in female. Caudal ramus, rostral area, first antenna, second antenna, labrum, mandible, paragnath, first maxilla, and second maxilla like those of female. Maxilliped (fig. 17) 4-segmented (assuming that proximal part of claw represents a fourth segment). First segment short with several sclerotized areas. Second segment large with two small naked setae. Third segment short and unarmed. Claw 44 μ m along its axis and bearing proximally two very unequal setae with a small knob on concave edge between them. Well-formed sclerite between bases of maxillipeds.

Legs 1—5 as in female.

Leg 6 (fig. 18) consisting of a posteroventral flap on genital segment bearing two naked setae.

Spermatophore not seen. Color in life as in female.

	V. petax	V. verbericolus
extremity of caudal ramus	without inner terminal knob	with small inner terminal knob
number of distinct segments		
in first antenna	3	4
second segment of second		
antenna	moderately stout	elongate, slender
armature of second exopod	-	•
segment of leg 1	I. 1*	L II. 1
armature of second exopod	-, -	-,, -
segment of leg ?	I 1	L L 1
number of setae in leg 4	1	2

Table 1. Distinguishing features of two species of Vahinius.

*The second exposed segment of leg 1 in *V. petax* bears two small spinelike processes in addition to the seta and terminal spine. If these two processes are interpreted as rudimentary spines, the formula is comparable to that of *V. verbericolus*.

Etymology. — The specific name verbericolus is formed from the Latin words verber meaning whip and colere, to inhabit, alluding to the presence of the copepod in whip corals.

Comparison with Vahinius petax. — The genus Vahinius until now has contained only a single species, Vahinius petax Humes, 1967, a parasite of Stichopathes echinulata Brook and Antipathes longibrachiata (van Pesch) in Madagascar (Humes, 1967, 1969). The salient differences between this species and V. verbericolus are shown in Table 1.

Remarks. — During routine examination of antipatharians for copepod parasites, internal forms such as *Vahinius* may easily be overlooked. In addition to soaking the hosts for several hours in sea water with 2-5 per cent ethyl alcohol, it is useful to fold the antipatharians and wring them as one would a piece of wet laundry. This seems to force the copepods out of the polyps.

Lichomolgidae Kossmann, 1877 Paramolgus Humes & Stock, 1972 Paramolgus insectus (Humes, 1969)

Specimens collected. — $24 \ Q \ Q$, $16 \ S \ S$ from one Antipathes abies Linnaeus), in 17 m, southwestern side of Goenoeng Api, Banda Islands, $4^{\circ}31'45''$ S, $129^{\circ}51'55''$ E, 30 April 1975.

Remarks. — These copepods conform in all observed details with paratypes from Madagascar. The species was placed originally in the genus *Lichomolgus* Thorell, 1859, but has been recently removed to *Paramolgus* by Humes & Stock (1973).

Paramolgus insectus is usually recovered in considerable numbers after the first rinsing of the host in the sea water-ethyl alcohol solution and apparently lives on the outer surface of the antipatharian. Its relatively unmodified body suggests that it is less of a parasite than *Vahinius verbericolus*.

References

Humes, A.G.

- 1967 Vahinius petax n. gen., n. sp., a cyclopoid copepod parasitic in an antipatharian coelenterate in Madagascar. Crustaceana, 12 (3): 233—242.
- 1969 Cyclopoid copepods associated with antipatharian coelenterates in Madagascar. Zool. Med., 44 (1): 1—30.

HUMES, A. G. & J. H. STOCK

1972 Preliminary notes on a revision of the Lichomolgidae, cyclopoid copepods mainly associated with marine invertebrates. — Bull. Zool. Mus., Univ. Amsterdam, 2 (12): 121-133.

1973 A revision of the family Lichomolgidae Kossmann, 1877, cyclopoid copepods mainly associated with marine invertebrates. — Smithsonian Contrib. Zool., 127: i-v + 1-368.

Dr. A. G. HUMES Boston University Marine Program Marine Biological Laboratory Woods Hole, Mass. 02543, U.S.A.