



Naturalis Repository

Case 3878 – *Andrena ovatula*: proposed conservation of current usage by designation of a neotype for *Melitta ovatula* Kirby, 1802 (currently *Andrena ovatula*; Hymenoptera, Andrenidae)

Thomas Wood, Christophe Praz

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.21805/bzn.v81.a008>

Downloaded from

[Naturalis Repository](#)

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act (DCA) - End User Rights

This publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act (Auteurswet) with consent from the author. Dutch law entitles the maker of a short scientific work funded either wholly or partially by Dutch public funds to make that work publicly available following a reasonable period after the work was first published, provided that reference is made to the source of the first publication of the work.

This publication is distributed under the Naturalis Biodiversity Center 'Taverne implementation' programme. In this programme, research output of Naturalis researchers and collection managers that complies with the legal requirements of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act is distributed online and free of barriers in the Naturalis institutional repository. Research output is distributed six months after its first online publication in the original published version and with proper attribution to the source of the original publication.

You are permitted to download and use the publication for personal purposes. All rights remain with the author(s) and copyrights owner(s) of this work. Any use of the publication other than authorized under this license or copyright law is prohibited.

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the department of Collection Information know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, Collection Information will make the material inaccessible. Please contact us through email: collectie.informatie@naturalis.nl. We will contact you as soon as possible.

Case 3878 – *Andrena ovatula*: proposed conservation of current usage by designation of a neotype for *Melitta ovatula* Kirby, 1802 (currently *Andrena ovatula*; Hymenoptera, ANDRENIDAE)

Thomas Wood*

Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Darwinweg 2, 2333 CR, Leiden, The Netherlands
(thomas.wood@naturalis.nl)

Christophe Praz

*University of Neuchâtel, Institute of Biology, Rue Emile-Argand 11,
2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland*
(christophe.praz@unine.ch)

*Corresponding author

<http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:5D1895A0-C6DA-46C3-9EAC-AD23716BF878>
<http://dx.doi.org/10.21805/bzn.v81.a008>

Abstract. The purpose of this application, under Article 75.5 of the ICZN Code, is to conserve the current usage of the specific name *Andrena ovatula* (Kirby, 1802) by setting aside all previous types and designating a neotype for *Melitta ovatula*. The name *Andrena ovatula* has been used as the senior name for what is a complex of species for more than 100 years. The principal confusion has been with *Andrena afzeliella* (Kirby, 1802), described from a female specimen, whereas *Andrena ovatula* was described from three male specimens. Within this group, males are morphologically more challenging to recognise than females, and as the existing male type material of *A. ovatula* possibly does not conform to the current use of *A. ovatula*, this poses a risk of nomenclatural instability. A female neotype is designated for *Andrena ovatula*, fixing the current interpretation of *Andrena ovatula* as distinct from *A. afzeliella*.

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Insecta; Hymenoptera; ANDRENIDAE; *Andrena ovatula*; small gorse mining bee; West Palearctic.

1. *Andrena ovatula* was described by Kirby (1802: 149) from England (in the genus *Melitta* Kirby, 1802). Four additional names also from England were proposed in the same publication: *Andrena afzeliella* (Kirby, 1802: 169), *Andrena fuscata* (Kirby, 1802: 167), *Andrena picipes* (Kirby, 1802: 127) and *Andrena barbata* (Kirby, 1802: 150). Under a broad species concept, all five names have been applied to the same nominal taxon (Gusenleitner & Schwarz, 2002: 564). Type material of *A. ovatula*, *A. afzeliella* and *A. fuscata* is present in the Kirby Collection at the Natural History Museum, London. The location of the type material of *A. picipes* and *A. barbata* is unknown (Praz et al., 2022).

2. *Andrena ovatula* was not used as the priority name for what was thought to be a single taxon for 116 years following its publication. Instead, the name *A. afzeliella* was used (Perkins, 1888: 128; Saunders, 1896: 268; Alfken, 1905: 89; Frey-Gessner, 1899–1907; Schmiedeknecht, 1907: 85).

3. Perkins (1918) was the first author to revise the relevant material in the Kirby Collection, resurrecting *A. ovatula* as the senior name for this single taxon and placing *A. afzeliella* in synonymy with it. Three males are preserved under *A. ovatula* in the Kirby collection, one labelled with the number “89”, the species entry for *A. ovatula* in Kirby (1802: 149). The original description of Kirby mentions a female (“Descr: Acul.” [the “aculeate sex” = the female]); however, as noted by Perkins (1918: 36), this was likely a typographical error and Kirby’s description probably corresponds to a male (the flocculus is not mentioned, unlike in other descriptions of females). These three males agree with the brief original description and are considered to be syntypes, as the Kirby collection has been well-curated over time and the original numerical labels used by Kirby are conserved. No lectotype has been designated.

4. When treated as a single species, *Andrena ovatula* females show variation in the colour of the terminal fringe (the hairs on tergum 5 and flanking the pygidial plate). These hairs are either dark brown or golden orange. This variability in the colour of the female terminal fringe was noted by several authors, for example by Schmiedeknecht (1907: 85), who attributed it to inter-generational variation, *Andrena ovatula* flying in two distinct generations from the spring to the summer. Stöckhert (1930: 936) was the first to suggest the presence of two distinct and bivoltine species in Europe: he recognised a slightly larger species with comparatively dark vestiture (including a dark terminal fringe), *A. ovatula* sensu stricto, and a smaller species with light vestiture (including a light terminal fringe), *A. albofasciata* Thomson, 1870 (described from southern Sweden, Thomson 1870: 154).

5. Niemelä (1949: 119) maintained the system of Stöckhert, distinguishing between *A. ovatula* and *A. albofasciata*.

6. *Andrena albofasciata* was later synonymised by Warncke (1967: 206)—an author known for his broad species concepts in bees, see for example Wood (2023)—under *A. ovatula*, returning to a single species concept.

7. The two names *A. ovatula* and *A. albofasciata* were mentioned in the identification key by Schmid-Egger & Scheuchl (1997), who listed the criteria of Niemelä (1949), stating however that they did not examine specimens of *A. albofasciata* from Germany.

8. The use of *A. ovatula* and *A. albofasciata* as distinct species was then advocated by Smissen (2001, 2002, 2010: 262) and Nilsson (2010: 79), a view followed by Herrmann (2007: 80) and Le Divelec (2021: 110).

9. Other authors have retained a single-species concept, always using *A. ovatula* as the priority name (Gusenleitner & Schwarz, 2002: 564; Amiet et al., 2010: 163; Schmidt et al., 2015: 990).

10. In a recent study, Praz et al. (2022: 380) demonstrated that two distinct species exist in Europe, including in England, based on morphology and DNA barcoding analyses: *A. ovatula* sensu Stöckhert (1930) and *A. afzeliella* (Kirby, 1802). That is to say, two distinct species co-occur over much of Europe, a bivoltine species with females displaying a dark terminal fringe (*A. ovatula*) and a bivoltine species with females displaying a light terminal fringe (*A. afzeliella*). *Andrena albofasciata* was placed in synonymy with *A. afzeliella* (Praz et al., 2022: 383) based on the inspection and designation of a lectotype

for *A. afzeliella* (Praz et al., 2022: 383). Identification of the females of these two taxa is usually possible, but an unambiguous identification of the males is not always possible. Morphology and DNA barcoding confirmed the presence of two species in southern England, the *terra typica* for both *A. ovatula* and *A. afzeliella*.

11. This two-species concept has been largely adopted after 2022 (e.g., Ghisbain et al., 2023; Reverte et al., 2023; Praz et al., 2023; Scheuchl et al., 2023; Zimmerman et al., 2023). Both species are currently recognised under these names by several national data centres (e.g., in France and Germany), and will be evaluated under these names in the upcoming Red List of the bees of Europe (Michez et al., in prep).

12. The three syntypic males of *A. ovatula* conserved in the Kirby collection are inadequately diagnostic: the tergal structure is suggestive of *A. ovatula* sensu Stöckhert (1930), but the antennal ratio character explained by Praz et al. (2022: 416) is not clear and rather suggestive of *A. afzeliella*. A genetic analysis of these specimens is unlikely to succeed given the age of the specimens, which were collected prior to 1802.

13. Consequently, there is a possibility that the type material of *A. ovatula* does not correspond to its current usage, leading to the possibility of nomenclatural instability and inconsistency with the current literature and regulatory framework (European Checklist [Ghisbain et al., 2023] and European Red List of bees [Michez et al., in prep.]). If the type material of *A. ovatula* were demonstrated to be conspecific with *A. afzeliella*, then the name *A. ovatula* would apply to the taxon with a light terminal fringe (the opposite of the use of Stöckhert [1930] and subsequent authors), and the priority name of the taxon with the dark terminal fringe would be unclear and might be one of the names described by Schenck (1853), which have never been used in this context and for which no syntypes appear to exist (Praz et al., 2022: 388–389); see also comments on the missing type material of *A. picipes* and *A. barbata*, above.

14. The proposed neotype specimen (Praz et al., 2022: 388), under Art. 75.5 of the Code (ICZN, 1999), is a female (United Kingdom, Surrey, Headley, Headley Heath, 51.2687°N, -0.2788°W, 13 April 2016) collected from southern England; unique identifier TJW_0562. This specimen is held in the Oberösterreichische Landesmuseum, Linz, Austria. This site is approximately 140 kilometres south-west of the locus typicus of *A. ovatula*, which is Barnham, Suffolk. Both *A. ovatula* sensu Stöckhert (1930) and *A. afzeliella* are found across southern England.

15. The specimen possesses the morphological characters that allow unambiguous separation from females of the cryptic *A. afzeliella* (colour of the hairs of tergite 5 and the hairs flanking the pygidial plate, colour of the hairs flanking the basitibial plate of the hind tibia, presence of short dark hairs intermixed with longer pale hairs on the scutum). The specimen is also barcoded and falls within the cluster of *A. ovatula* sensu Stöckhert (1930) sequences (specimen with number TJW_0562 in Praz et al. [2022: fig. 2]) and is unambiguously separated from the cluster of *A. afzeliella* sequences. This proposed neotype would therefore provide clarity and stability for the application of the name *A. ovatula*.

16. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:

- (1) to use its plenary power to set aside all previous type fixations for the name *Andrena ovatula* (Kirby, 1802), as published in the binomen *Melitta ovatula*, and to designate as neotype the specimen from Headley Heath deposited in the Oberösterreichische Landesmuseum, Linz, Austria;
- (2) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the name *Andrena*

ovatula (Kirby, 1802), as published in the binomen *Melitta ovatula* and as defined by the neotype designated in (1) above.

References

- Alfken JD (1905) Die Gruppe der *Andrena-Afzeliella* Kirby. (Hym.). Zeitschrift für systematische Hymenopterologie und Dipterologie 5: 89–91.
<https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/33765225#page/105>
- Amiet F, Herrmann M, Müller A, Neumeyer R (2010) Apidae 6. *Andrena*, *Melliturga*, *Panurginus*, *Panurgus*. Fauna Helvetica 26. Centre Suisse de Cartographie de la Faune/Schweizerische Entomologische Gesellschaft, Neuchâtel, 316 pp.
- Frey-Gessner E (1899–1907) Gesellig lebende Bienen, Urbienen und Schenckelsammler. Fauna Insectorum Helvetiae, Hymenoptera. Volume 1. Hans Körber, Schaffhausen, 392 pp.
- Ghisbain G, Rosa P, Bogusch P, Flaminio S, Le Divelec R, Dorchin A, Kasperek M, Kuhlmann M, Litman J, Mignot M, Müller A, Praz C, Radchenko VG, Rasmont P, Risch S, Roberts SPM, Smit J, Wood TJ, Michez D, Reverté S (2023) The new annotated checklist of the wild bees of Europe (Hymenoptera: Anthophila). Zootaxa 5327: 1–147.
<https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5327.1.1>
- Gusenleitner F, Schwarz M (2002) Weltweite Checkliste der Bienengattung *Andrena* mit Bemerkungen und Ergänzungen zu paläarktischen Arten. Entomofauna Supplement 12: 1–1280.
https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/ENTS_S12_0001-1280.pdf
- Herrmann M (2007) Die Wildbienen und Wespen (Hymenoptera, Aculeata) der innerstädtischen Grünfläche Fürstenberg in Konstanz. Berichte der naturforschenden Gesellschaft zu Freiburg im Breisgau 97: 75–102.
https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Berichte-naturf-Ges-Freiburg-Br_97_0075-0102.pdf
- ICZN (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature) (1999) International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. Fourth edition. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London, xxix + 306 pp.
<https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/34423691>
- Kirby W (1802) Monographia Apum Angliae. II. J. Raw, Ipswich, 388 pp.
<https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/41176#page/7>
- Le Divelec R (2021) Sur la présence en France de certaines espèces d'Apoïdes (Hymenoptera, Andrenidae, Colletidae, Megachilidae, Psenidae). Bulletin de la Société entomologique de France 126: 103–122.
https://doi.org/10.32475/bsef_2176
- Niemelä P (1949) Mitteilungen über die Apiden (Hym.) Finnlands. 3. Die Untergattung *Taeniandrena* Hedicke. Annales entomologici Fennici 15: 101–120.
https://pistiaistyoryhma.myspecies.info/sites/pistiaistyoryhma.myspecies.info/files/Niemel%C3%A4_1949_AEF_Taeniandrena.pdf (Last accessed on 28 April 2022)
- Nilsson LA (2010) The type material of Swedish bees (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) IV. Bees from Thomson's collection. Entomologisk Tidskrift 131: 73–94.
https://www.sef.nu/download/entomologisk_tidskrift/et_2010/ET2010%2073-94%20mindre.pdf (Last accessed on 28 April 2022)
- Perkins RCL (1888) Notes on aculeate Hymenoptera. The Entomologist's Monthly Magazine 25: 128–131.
<https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/30321047>
- Perkins RCL (1918) The synonymy of *Andrena wilkella* K., and its allies, with notes on habits, as confirming specific distinctions. The Entomologist's Monthly Magazine 54: 36–39.
<https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/34175808>
- Praz CJ, Genoud D, Vaucher K, Bénon D, Monks J, Wood TJ (2022) Unexpected levels of cryptic diversity in European bees of the genus *Andrena* subgenus *Taeniandrena* (Hymenoptera: Andrenidae): implications for conservation. Journal of Hymenoptera Research 91: 375–428.
<https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.91.82761>

- Praz CJ, Müller A, Bénon D, Herrmann M, Neumeyer R (2023) Annotated checklist of the Swiss bees (Hymenoptera, Apoidea, Anthophila): hotspots of diversity in the xeric inner Alpine valleys. *Alpine Entomology* 7: 219–267.
<https://doi.org/10.3897/alpento.7.112514>
- Reverté S, Miličić M, Ačanski J, Andrić A, Aracil A, Aubert M, Balzan MV, Bartomeus I, Bogusch P, Bosch J, Budrys E, Cantú-Salazar L, Castro S, Cornalba M, Demeter I, Devalez J, Dorchin A, Dufrêne E, Đorđević A, Fisler L, Fitzpatrick Ú, Flaminio S, Földesi R, Gaspar H, Genoud D, Geslin B, Ghisbain G, Gilbert F, Gogala A, Grković A, Heimburg H, Herrera-Mesias F, Jacobs M, Milosavljević MJ, Janssen K, Jensen J-K, Ješovnik A, Józán Z, Karlis G, Kasperek M, Kovács-Hostyánszki A, Kuhlmann M, Le Divelec R, Leclercq N, Likov L, Litman J, Ljubomirov T, Madsen HB, Marshall L, Mazánek L, Milić D, Mignot M, Mudri-Stojnić S, Müller A, Nedeljković Z, Nikolić P, Ødegaard F, Patiny S, Paukkunen J, Pennards G, Pérez-Bañón C, Perrard A, Petanidou T, Pettersson LB, Popov G, Popov S, Praz C, Prokhorov A, Quaranta M, Radchenko VG, Radenković S, Rasmont P, Rasmussen C, Reemer M, Ricarte A, Risch S, Roberts SPM, Rojo S, Ropars L, Rosa P, Ruiz C, Sentil A, Shparyk V, Smit J, Sommaggio D, Soon V, Ssymank A, Ståhls G, Stavrínides M, Straka J, Tarlap P, Terzo M, Tomozii B, Tot T, van der Ent L-J, van Steenis J, van Steenis W, Varnava AI, Vereecken NJ, Veselić S, Vesnić A, Weigand A, Wisniewski B, Wood TJ, Zimmermann D, Michez D, Vujić A (2023) National records of 3000 European bee and hoverfly species: a contribution to pollinator conservation. *Insect Conservation and Diversity* 16: 1–18.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12680>
- Saunders E (1896) *The Hymenoptera Aculeata of the British Islands: a descriptive account of the families, genera, and species indigenous to Great Britain and Ireland, with notes as to habits, localities, habitats*. Reeve, London, 391 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.10494>
- Schenck A (1853) Nachtrag zu der Beschreibung nassauischer Bienenarten. *Jahrbücher des nassauischen Vereins für Naturkunde* 9: 88–306.
<https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/61507166>
- Scheuchl E, Schwenninger HR, Burger R, Diestelhorst O, Kuhlmann M, Saure C, Schmid-Egger C, Silló N (2023) Die Wildbienenarten Deutschlands – Kritisches Verzeichnis und aktualisierte Checkliste der Wildbienen Deutschlands (Hymenoptera, Anthophila). *Anthophila* 1: 25–138.
- Schmiedeknecht O (1907) *Die hymenopteren Mitteleuropas nach ihren Gattungen und zum grossen Teil auch nach ihren Arten analytisch*. Gustav Fischer, Jena, 810 pp.
<https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.10481>
- Schmid-Egger C, Scheuchl E (1997) *Illustrierte Bestimmungstabellen der Wildbienen Deutschlands und Österreichs unter Berücksichtigung der Arten der Schweiz, Band III: Schlüssel der Arten der Familie Andrenidae*. Eigenverlag, Velden/Vils, 180 pp.
- Schmidt S, Schmid-Egger C, Morinière J, Haszprunar G, Hebert PD (2015) DNA barcoding largely supports 250 years of classical taxonomy: identifications for Central European bees (Hymenoptera, Apoidea partim). *Molecular Ecology Resources* 15: 985–1000.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12363>
- Smissen J van der (2001) *Die Wildbienen und Wespen Schleswig-Holsteins – Rote Liste. Bände I–III*. Landesamt für Natur und Umwelt des Landes Schleswig-Holstein, Flintbek, 138 pp.
https://www.schleswig-holstein.de/DE/fachinhalte/A/artenschutz/Downloads/rl_stechimmen_pdf.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=1 (Last accessed on 28 April 2022)
- Smissen J van der (2002) Beiträge zur Determination und zum Faunengebiet, sowie Korrekturen zur Roten Liste der Wildbienen und Wespen Schleswig-Holsteins. *Bombus. Faunistische Mitteilungen aus Nordwestdeutschland* 3 (53–54): 209–216.
https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Bombus_3_0209-0216.pdf
- Smissen J van der (2010) Bilanz aus 20 Jahren entomologischer Aktivitäten (1987–2007) (Hymenoptera, Aculeata). Verein für naturwissenschaftliche Heimatforschung zu Hamburg 43: 1–426.

- https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Verh-Ver-Naturwiss-Unterh-Hamburg_43_0001-0426.pdf
Stöckhert E (1930) *Andrena* Fabr [897–986 pp.]. In: Schmiedeknecht O (Ed), Die Hymenopteren Nord- und Mitteleuropas. Gustav Fischer, Jena.
https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/MON-E-HYM_0026_0001-1062.pdf
Thomson CG (1870) X. Öfversigt af de i Sverige funna arter af Genus *Andrena* [140–156 pp.]. In: Thomson CG (Ed), Opuscula Entomologica. Part 2. Ohlsson, Lund.
<https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.8248>
Warncke K (1967) Beitrag zur Klärung paläarktischer *Andrena*-Arten (Hym. Apidae). Eos 43: 171–318.
Wood TJ (2023) The genus *Andrena* Fabricius, 1775 in the Iberian Peninsula (Hymenoptera, Andrenidae). Journal of Hymenoptera Research 96: 241–484.
<https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.96.101873>
Zimmermann D, Schoder S, Zettel H, Hainz-Renetzeder C, Kratschmer S (2023) Changes in the wild bee community (Hymenoptera: Apoidea) over 100 years in relation to land use: a case study in a protected steppe habitat in Eastern Austria. Journal of Insect Conservation 27: 625–641.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-023-00486-8>