

### **Naturalis Repository**

## A chronological framework for the British Quaternary based on Bithynia opercula

Kirsty E. H. Penkman, Richard C. Preece, David R. Bridgland, David H. Keen, Tom Meijer, Simon A. Parfitt, Tom S. White & Matthew J. Collins

Downloaded from https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10305

#### Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act (DCA) - End User Rights

This publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act (Auteurswet) with consent from the author. Dutch law entitles the maker of a short scientific work funded either wholly or partially by Dutch public funds to make that work publicly available following a reasonable period after the work was first published, provided that reference is made to the source of the first publication of the work.

This publication is distributed under the Naturalis Biodiversity Center 'Taverne implementation' programme. In this programme, research output of Naturalis researchers and collection managers that complies with the legal requirements of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act is distributed online and free of barriers in the Naturalis institutional repository. Research output is distributed six months after its first online publication in the original published version and with proper attribution to the source of the original publication.

You are permitted to download and use the publication for personal purposes. All rights remain with the author(s) and copyrights owner(s) of this work. Any use of the publication other than authorized under this license or copyright law is prohibited.

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the department of Collection Information know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, Collection Information will make the material inaccessible. Please contact us through email: <u>collectie.informatie@naturalis.nl</u>. We will contact you as soon as possible.

# A chronological framework for the British Quaternary based on *Bithynia* opercula

Kirsty E. H. Penkman<sup>1</sup>, Richard C. Preece<sup>2</sup>, David R. Bridgland<sup>3</sup>, David H. Keen<sup>4</sup>‡, Tom Meijer<sup>5</sup>, Simon A. Parfitt<sup>6,7</sup>, Tom S. White<sup>2</sup> & Matthew J. Collins<sup>1</sup>

Marine and ice-core records show that the Earth has experienced a succession of glacials and interglacials during the Quaternary (last ~2.6 million years), although it is often difficult to correlate fragmentary terrestrial records with specific cycles. Aminostratigraphy is a method potentially able to link terrestrial sequences to the marine isotope stages (MIS) of the deep-sea record<sup>1,2</sup>. We have used new methods of extraction and analysis of amino acids, preserved within the calcitic opercula of the freshwater gastropod Bithynia, to provide the most comprehensive data set for the British Pleistocene based on a single dating technique. A total of 470 opercula from 74 sites spanning the entire Quaternary are ranked in order of relative age based on the extent of protein degradation, using aspartic acid/ asparagine (Asx), glutamic acid/glutamine (Glx), serine (Ser), alanine (Ala) and valine (Val). This new aminostratigraphy is consistent with the stratigraphical relationships of stratotypes, sites with independent geochronology, biostratigraphy and terrace stratigraphy<sup>3-6</sup>. The method corroborates the existence of four interglacial stages between the Anglian (MIS 12) and the Holocene in the terrestrial succession. It establishes human occupation of Britain in most interglacial stages after MIS 15, but supports the notion of human absence during the Last Interglacial (MIS 5e)<sup>7</sup>. Suspicions that the treeless 'optimum of the Upton Warren interstadial' at Isleworth pre-dates MIS 3 are confirmed. This new aminostratigraphy provides a robust framework against which climatic, biostratigraphical and archaeological models can be tested.

Despite the importance of the terrestrial record for climate models, the difficulties of assigning specific sedimentary sequences to individual climate cycles restricts the use of these data in climate modelling. The British Quaternary is exceptional for the number of recorded sites and their biodiversity, which has fluctuated markedly due to the midlatitude situation of this ephemeral island. A consensus has emerged from attempted differentiation between interglacials in Britain using river terrace stratigraphy<sup>6,8</sup> and biostratigraphy<sup>3–5</sup> (Fig. 1).

This study revisits pioneering research undertaken on bivalves<sup>1</sup> and gastropod shells<sup>2</sup> that used the extent of racemization in the amino acid L-isoleucine (to its diastereomer D-alloisoleucine, yielding a D-alloisoleucine/L-isoleucine (A/I) value) in non-marine mollusc shells to build an aminostratigraphy of terrestrial sequences that could be linked to the marine oxygen isotope stratigraphy. Following debate concerning certain correlations, we developed a revised method of extraction and analysis<sup>9</sup>. Shells of freshwater gastropods (*Bithynia* and *Valvata*) from many of the original sites<sup>10</sup> have been re-analysed, confirming much of the A/I stratigraphy. However, it emerged that within-site and within-stage variability increases in shells from older sites. This variability probably results from diagenetic alteration of the biomineral carbonate from aragonite to the more thermodynamically stable calcite<sup>10,11</sup>.

Our new method has five significant revisions, three of which reduced within-site variability. First, inter-species variation was minimised by analysing only a single genus of freshwater gastropod (Bithynia). Second, variability in amino acid concentration and D/L values was significantly lowered when samples were crushed to  $\leq$  500  $\mu$ m and exposed to prolonged wet chemical oxidation (48 h, 12% w/v NaOCl, room temperature), destroying any contamination and leaving a functionally closed-system protein fraction defined as 'intra-crystalline'9,12. Third, the calcitic operculum, which in life closes the aperture of the shell, was analysed instead of the aragonitic shell. Opercula display less within-site variation and greater stability than shells<sup>10,11</sup>, and show subtle but minimal intraspecific differences in racemization (Supplementary Data 1). Further modifications included the analysis of a range of different amino acids13 (rather than only a single measure of racemization), which allows an estimate of 'intra-crystalline protein decomposition' (IcPD). This integrates data from amino acids with differing rates of racemization (Asx  $\gg$  Ala > Val  $\approx$  Glx) with the extent of dehydration of serine (Ser to Ala concentration ratio) to estimate age (Fig. 2). Finally, comparisons were made between free amino acids (FAA), liberated by diagenesis, and the total extent of racemization (THAA), in order to test closed-system conditions<sup>14</sup>.

The intra-crystalline fraction maintains constant chemical conditions so that the extent of protein degradation can be attributed to the thermal history of the sample. Within the study area differences in thermal history have been minor during the past century and chiefly related to burial depth and the thermal diffusivity of the overburden, mediated by the presence/absence of vegetation and/or snow cover (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Discussion). Opercula with similar levels of protein degradation are therefore thought to be of equivalent age, assuming that similarly small thermal gradients existed during past interglacials, when most of the racemization would have occurred.

The consistency of our method has been tested by measuring opercula from British interglacial stratotypes and/or sites with independent geochronology (Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Supplementary Data 1). All stratotypes yielding *Bithynia* have been analysed, but stratotypes have not been formally defined for all stages<sup>15</sup>. Sites with independent geochronology can be used to calibrate IcPD results but, as only seven of our pre-Holocene sites have associated dates, we have not done this here. Nevertheless, an age-dependent increase in the level of IcPD is seen from the Holocene to the Early Pleistocene, using a combination of fast (for example, Asx) and slow (for example, Val) racemizing amino acids to span this range.

Increasing protein decomposition within opercula is also consistent with increasing river terrace elevation (and therefore age) in Quaternary fluvial archives (Figs 1 and 3 and Supplementary Data 1 and 2). The formation of river terraces is attributed to climatic forcing and uplift, linking aggradation and incision phases with climatically induced changes in sediment and water supply<sup>6,8,16</sup>. Assemblages of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> BioArCh, Departments of Archaeology & Chemistry, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK. <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK. <sup>3</sup>Department of Geography, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK. <sup>4</sup>Institute of Archaeology and Antiquity, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK. <sup>5</sup>Cainozoic Mollusca, Netherlands Centre for Biodiversity, Naturalis, P.O. Box 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands. <sup>6</sup>Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 31-34 Gordon Square, London WC1H OPY, UK. <sup>7</sup>Department of Palaeontology, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK. <sup>1</sup>Deceased.

Bite     DL     Ch     Archaeology     Archaeology       1     Ala     Val     99     90<	
1 Acke (modern)     6     3     0.44 0.00     0.22 0.00     •       2 Enfeld Lock     6     4     0.65 0.01     0.03 0.00     •       3 Guiderham Mere, 820-820 mr     6     3     0.06 0.01     0.04 0.00     •       3 Guiderham Mere, 840-830 mr     6     3     0.06 0.01     0.40 0.00     •       6 Star Car, 824-828 cm     6     0.01     0.02     0.00     •       8 Star Car, 824-828 cm     6     1     0.12     0.00     0.80     •       9 Beleworth     6     4     0.14     0.00     0.80     •     •       11 Ocston     6     1     0.17     0.00     0.80     •     •       12 Masay     16     4     0.18     0.00     0.80     •     •     •       13 Sahan Toney 82     1     4     0.18     0.00     0.10     0.01     •     •       13 Sahan Toney 84     6     1.01     0.00     0.10     0.01     •     •     •	
1 Acle (modern)     #     3     0.44 0.00     0.22 0.00     *       2 Enfield Lock     #     4     0.65 0.01     0.03 0.00       3 Guiderham Mere, 640-680 cm     #     0.05 0.01     0.40 0.00     •       4 Newby Wishe     #     0.05 0.01     0.04 0.00     •     •       5 Star Car, 724-528 cm     #     0.07 0.00     0.00     •     •       8 Cassarigton     #     4     0.10 0.00     0.00     •     •       9 Beleworth     #     0.11 0.00     0.00     0.00     0.01     •     •       11 Coston     #     0.11 0.00     0.08     0.00     •     •     •       13 Woolpack Farm     #     1     0.17 0.00     0.01     •	MIS
1 Acle (modern)   6   3   0.44 0.00   0.22 0.00   •     2 Enfield Lock   6   4   0.65 0.01   0.03 0.00   •     3 Guidenham Mere, 520-280 mr   6   3   0.06 0.01   0.40 0.00   •     3 Guidenham Mere, 640-680 mr   6   3   0.60 0.01   0.40 0.00   •     5 Star Car, 724-728 mr   6   4   0.60 0.01   0.00   •     8 Car, 324-728 mr   6   4   0.10   0.07   0.00   •     9 Elsevorth   7   9 0.00   0.65 0.01   0.07   0.01   0.07   0.01     10 Caston   6   4   0.12 0.00   0.08   0.00   •   101     11 Coston   6   4   0.13 0.00   0.09   0.01   101 <td>sns</td>	sns
1 Acle (modern)     #     3     0.44 0.00     0.22 0.00     *       2 Enfield Lock     #     4     0.65 0.01     0.03 0.00       3 Guiderham Mere, 640-680 cm     #     0.05 0.01     0.40 0.00     •       4 Newby Wishe     #     0.05 0.01     0.04 0.00     •     •       5 Star Car, 724-528 cm     #     0.07 0.00     0.00     •     •       8 Cassarigton     #     4     0.10 0.00     0.00     •     •       9 Beleworth     #     0.11 0.00     0.00     0.00     0.01     •     •       11 Coston     #     0.11 0.00     0.08     0.00     •     •     •       13 Woolpack Farm     #     1     0.17 0.00     0.01     •	Consensus MIS
1     Ack (modern)     ic     2     0.040     0.020     0.000       3     Cuiderham Mere, 260-260     ic     3     0.000     0.000     0.000     0.000       4     Newer, 640-650     ic     3     0.000     0.000     0.000     0.000       5     Saton-Qon-Trent     ic     4     0.000     0.000     0.000     0.000       6     Start Carr, 224-282     ic     4     0.100     0.000	ŏ
3 Outdenham Mere, 620-200 cm   ie   3   0.00	1
4 Newby Wiske   fe   3   0.06   0.01   0.04   0.00	1
6 Star Carr, 245-250 cm   fe   4   0.00	1
6 Star Carr, 524-528 cm   fe   3   0.10   0.02   0.04   0.00   •   10     8 Cassington   fe   4   0.12   0.01   0.07   0.00   •   10     10 East Mersea (Restaurant)   fe   2   0.16   0.00   0.08   0.01   0.07   0.01	1
8 Cassingtion   fe   4   0.12   0.07   0.07   0.07     9 Islaworth   fe   2   0.16   0.07   0.08   0.07     11 Cocton   fe   3   0.17   0.00   0.08   0.01     12 Maxey   fe   4   0.17   0.01   0.08   0.01     13 Woolpack Farm   fe   1   0.17   0.01   0.08   0.01     15 Saham Toney, 92   fe   4   0.17   0.01   0.09   0.01     16 Itteringham   fe   1   0.17   0.01   0.09   0.01     17 Statam Toney, 92   fe   4   0.18   0.01   0.00   11     15 Saham Toney, 93   fe   1   0.01   0.00   11   11     15 Saham Toney, 93   fe   2   0.01   0.00   11   11     15 Saham Toney, 93   fe   4   0.20   0.10   0.01   11   11     15 Barbar Toney, 93   fe   4   0.20   0.11   0.01   10   11   11   11	2 2
10 Casto Messa (Restaurant)   fe   2   0.16   0.02   0.08   0.01     11 Coston   fe   3   0.17   0.00   0.08   0.01     12 Maxey   fe   4   0.17   0.00   0.08   0.01     13 Moolpack Farm   fe   1   0.17   0.01   0.08   0.01     15 Saham Toney, 92   fe   4   0.17   0.01   0.08   0.01     16 Hittingham   fe   1   0.17   0.01   0.08   0.01   0.01     18 Bobbitshole   fe   1   0.01   0.00   0.01   0.01   1.01     15 Saham Toney, 94   fe   2   0.19   0.01   0.10   0.01   1.01   1.01     15 Saham Toney, 93   fe   4   0.20   0.01   0.01   1.00   1.01   1.01     15 Babaritony, Avea 3   fe   4   0.22   0.01   0.10   0.01   1.01   1.01     21 Bardon Cuarry, Avea 3   fe   4   0.24   0.01   1.02   1.01   1.01   1.01 <t< td=""><td>5c-4</td></t<>	5c-4
12 Macky Farm   fe   1   0.17   0.03   0.08   0.02     13 Woolgaack Farm   fe   4   0.17   0.01   0.02   0.01     15 Saham Toney, 92   fe   4   0.17   0.01   0.02   0.01     15 Babbitshole   fe   1   0.08   0.01   0.01   0.01     17 Tattershall Castle   fe   4   0.18   0.01   0.02   0.01     19 Shropham   fe   4   0.18   0.01   0.02   0.01   1     15 Saham Toney, 34   fe   2   0.19   0.01   0.10   0.01   1   1     22 Eckington   fe   4   0.19   0.01   0.00   1   1   1     21 Bardon Ouary, Area 3   fe   4   0.19   0.01   10.00   1   1   1   1     22 Eckington   fe   4   0.22   0.01   1.00   1   0.01   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	5e
14 Jaywick Sands   te   4   0.17   0.01   0.09   0.01     15 Saham Toney, 92   te   4   0.17   0.00   0.00   0.01     17 Tattershall Castle   te   4   0.18   0.00   0.00   0.01     18 Bobbitshole   te   4   0.18   0.01   0.00   0.01     19 Stropham   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.01   10   10     15 Saham Toney, 93   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.01   10   10   10     21 Eckington   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.01   0.01   10   10   10     22 Eckington   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.01   3.4   10   <	5e
15 Saham Toney, 92   re   4   0.17   0.00   0.10   0.01   0.11     16 Itteringham   te   1   0.18   0.00   0.09   0.01   .     17 Tattershall Castle   te   4   0.18   0.01   0.09   0.01   .   .     18 Bobbitshole   te   3   0.18   0.01   0.09   0.01   .   .     19 Shropham   te   4   0.19   0.00   0.11   0.01   .   .     11 Saham Toney, 93   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.10   0.01   .   .   .     21 Corpthoren New Inn   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.01   .	
17 Tartesmall Castle   re   4   0.18   0.01   0.09   0.01   •   IW     18 Bobbitshole   re   3   0.18   0.01   0.09   0.01   •   IW     20 Trafajar Square   te   6   0.19   0.00   0.11   0.01   10   •   IW     21 Bardon Cuary, Ara 3   te   3   0.19   0.00   0.10   0.00   1   IW   •     21 Grothore New Inn   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.00   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.01   1   IW   •   •   0.20   0.01 </td <td></td>	
19   Storopham   te   4   0.18   0.01   0.09   0.01     10   Trafagar Square   te   6   0.19   0.00   0.11   0.01     11   Staham Toney, 94   te   3   0.19   0.00   0.11   0.01     12   Bardon Quary, Area 3   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.01   0.01     16   Ittringham, Bed d   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.01   0.01     22   Eckington   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.00   0.01     23   Cropthorne New Inn   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.01   0.01     25   Stanton Harcourt   te   4   0.22   0.01   1.10   0   Th   0     26   Crayford   te   4   0.24   0.01   0.12   0.01   Th   0   0     29   Histon Foad   te   4   0.25   0.01   0.13   0.01   Th   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	5e
15 Saham Tonyey, 94   te   2   0.19   0.00   0.11   0.01     21 Bardon Quary, Area 3   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.10   0.01     16 Itteringham, Bed d   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.01   0.01     22 Eckington   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.02   0.01   0.02     23 Gropthorne New Inn   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.02   0.01   0.02     24 Funtham's Lane East   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.12   0.01   Th   SA   •     26 Crayford   te   4   0.24   0.01   0.12   0.01   Th   •	5e 5e
11 Bardon Quarry, Area 3   te   3   0.19   0.20   0.90   0.11     15 Saham Toney, 93   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.10   0.01     16 Interingtam, Bed d   te   4   0.19   0.01   0.10   0.01     22 Eckington   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.01     23 Cropthoren New Inn   te   4   0.22   0.01   0.10   0.01   0.01     24 Funtham's Lane East   te   25   0.22   0.01   0.11   0.01   0.01   0.01     28 Allstone-on-Stour   te   4   0.24   0.01   0.12   0.01   0.12   0.11   0.01<	5e
16 Heringham, Bed d   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.10   0.00     22 Eckington   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.00   0.01   0.01     23 Grapthorem New Inn   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.09   0.01   0.01   0.01     21 Bardon Quary, Area 2   te   4   0.22   0.01   0.11   0.00   10   0.01   2.01   NW    1     25 Stanton Harcourt   te   4   0.22   0.01   0.12   0.01   10   0.01   2.01   1   10   0.02   0.01   2.01   1   0.01   2.01   1   0.01   2.01   1   0.01   2.01   1   0.01   2.01   1   0.01   2.01   1   0.01   2.01   1   0.01   2.01   1   0.01   1.01   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01   1   0.01	
23 Crophone New Inn   te   4   0.20   0.01   0.09   0.00     21 Bardon Quary, Area 2   te   4   0.22   0.01   0.12   0.01     25 Stanton Harcourt   te   4   0.22   0.01   0.12   0.01   10   11   0.00     25 Stanton Harcourt   te   4   0.24   0.00   0.12   0.01   11   0.01     26 Crayford   te   4   0.24   0.01   0.12   0.01   20   0.01   20   0.01   0.22   0.01   0.22   0.01   0.25   0.01   0.20   0.21   0.02   0.22   0.01   0.25   0.01   0.20   0.21   0.02   0.21   0.02   0.21   0.01   0.25   0.01   0.	
24 Furtham's Lane East   re   25   0.22   0.01   0.12   0.01   Th   Im     25 Stanton Harcourt   te   4   0.23   0.01   0.12   0.01   Th   Im	5e 5e
25 Stanton Harcourt   re   4   0.23   0.01   0.11   0.01   Th   Im	
27 Barwell   te   2   0.24   0.01   0.12   0.01     28 Alstone-on-Stour   te   4   0.24   0.01   0.12   0.01     29 Histone-Road   te   4   0.24   0.01   0.12   0.01     30 Strensham   te   4   0.25   0.01   0.12   0.01     31 Stutton   te   4   0.25   0.01   0.14   0.01     32 Coronation Farm   te   1   0.25   0.01   0.13   0.01     32 Somersham   te   1   0.25   0.01   0.13   0.01     35 Block Fen   te   1   0.26   0.01   0.13   0.01     36 Ebbsfleet, U. Ioam, L. part   te   1   0.26   0.01   0.14   0.01     38 Miord (Uphall Pit)   te   2   0.27   0.10   0.14   0.01   TW   V     39 Maidenhal   te   2   0.27   0.10   1.6   0.1   TH   V   V     40 West Thurcok (Lion Pit), 2000   ts   3   0.29   0.10<	7 7
29 Histor Road   te   2   0.24 0.01   0.12 0.01   0.32 0.01     30 Strensham   te   4   0.25 0.01   0.14 0.01   SA     31 Stutton   te   4   0.25 0.01   0.14 0.01   SA     32 Coronation Farm   te   1   0.25 0.01   0.13 0.01   W   SA     33 Somersham   te   1   0.25 0.01   0.13 0.01   SA   SA     34 Norton Bottoms   te   1   0.26 0.01   0.13 0.01   SA   SA     35 Somersham   te   1   0.26 0.01   0.14 0.01   SA   SA   SA     36 Ebbsfleet, U. loam, U. part   te   1   0.26 0.01   0.14 0.01   SA   SA   SA     37 Brough   te   2   0.27 0.01   0.14 0.01   TM   SA   SA     38 Midenhall   te   2   0.27 0.01   0.14 0.01   TM   SA   SA     39 Maidenhall   te   2   0.28 0.02   0.15 0.01   TM   SA   SA     40 West Thurock (Lion PR), 2000   te   3   0.30 0.01	
31 Stutton   te   4   0.25   0.01   0.14   0.01     32 Coronation Farm   te   1   0.25   0.01   0.12   0.01     33 Somersham   te   1   0.25   0.01   0.13   0.01     34 Norton Bottoms   te   15   0.25   0.01   0.13   0.01     35 Block Fen   te   1   0.26   0.01   0.13   0.01   30     35 Ebbsfleet, U. loam, U. part   te   1   0.26   0.01   0.14   0.01     36 Ebbsfleet, U. loam, L. part   te   2   0.26   0.01   0.14   0.01     38 liford (Uphall Pit)   te   2   0.26   0.14   0.01   1   1W     38 liford (Uphall Pit)   te   2   0.26   0.01   0.16   0.01   11   1W     39 Maidenhall   te   2   0.27   0.01   0.16   0.01   11   11   11   11   11   11   11   11   11   11   11   11   11   11   11   11	
33 Somersham   te   4   0.25   0.01   0.12   0.00     34 Norton Bottoms   te   15   0.25   0.01   0.13   0.01   1W   W     33 Somersham   tr   4   0.25   0.01   0.13   0.01   W   W   W     33 Somersham   tr   4   0.26   0.01   0.14   0.00   W<	
34 Norton Bottoms   re   15   0.25   0.01   0.13   0.01     35 Block Fen   te   4   0.26   0.02   0.13   0.01     35 Block Fen   te   4   0.26   0.01   0.13   0.01     36 Ebbsfleet, U.loam, U.part   te   1   0.26   0.01   0.14   0.01     37 Brough   te   2   0.27   0.01   0.14   0.01     38 Midenhall   te   2   0.27   0.01   0.14   0.01     39 Maidenhall   te   2   0.28   0.20   0.15   0.01   11 <t< td=""><td>7</td></t<>	7
33 Somersham   r   4   0.26   0.01   0.13   0.01     36 Ebbsfleet, U. loam, L. part   te   1   0.26   0.01   0.14   0.01     37 Brough   te   2   0.26   0.11   0.01   1   W     38 liford (Uphall Pt)   te   2   0.27   0.01   0.14   0.01   1   W   TW     39 Maidenhall   te   2   0.27   0.01   0.14   0.02   1   M   W   W   W   M   W   W   M   W   M   W   M   W   M   M   W   M   M   M   W   M	7
36 Ebbsfleet, U. loam, L. part   te   2   0.26   0.14   0.01     37 Brough   te   6   0.27   0.01   0.16   0.01     38 liford (Uphall Pit)   te   2   0.27   0.01   0.14   0.02     39 Miadenhail   te   2   0.27   0.01   0.14   0.02     39 Miadenhail   te   2   0.29   0.01   0.15   0.01   Th   ●     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 2000   te   3   0.29   0.01   0.15   0.01   Th   ●   ▼     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 3   te   2   0.30   0.01   0.15   0.01   Th   ●   ▼     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 3   te   2   0.30   0.01   0.16   0.01   Th   ●   ▼     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 3   te   2   0.30   0.01   0.16   0.01   Th   ●   ▼     41 Stoke Tunnel   te   3   0.31   0.01   0.16   0.01   Th   ●   ●   ●   ● <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
38 Hörd" (Uphall Pit)   te   2   0.27   0.11   0.14   0.02     39 Maidenhal   te   2   0.28   0.02   0.15   0.01     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 2000   te   2   0.29   0.01   0.15   0.01     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 2000   te   3   0.29   0.01   0.15   0.01     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 2000   te   3   0.29   0.01   0.15   0.01     41 Stoke Tunnel   te   2   0.30   0.01   0.15   0.01   11   ●     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 3   te   2   0.30   0.01   0.16   0.01   11   ●     42 Selsey   te   3   0.31   0.01   0.16   0.01   11   ●   ●     43 Aveley   te   3   0.32   0.20   0.16   0.01   11   ●   ●   ●     44 West Wittering   te   3   0.32   0.20   0.16   0.01   11   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●	
39 Maidemhall   te   2   0.28   0.20   0.15   0.00     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 2000   te   3   0.29   0.01   0.16   0.00     36 Ebosfieet, Lmst Brickearth   te   3   0.30   0.01   0.15   0.01     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 2000   te   3   0.30   0.01   0.15   0.01     40 West Thurrock (Lion Pit), 3   te   2   0.30   0.01   0.16   0.02   11	7 7
43 Aveley   te   4   0.32   0.20   0.16   0.01     44 West Wittering   te   3   0.32   0.00   0.16   0.00     45 Bushley Green   te   1   0.34   0.00   0.16   0.00     46 Cudmore Grove   te   4   0.34   0.02   0.19   0.01   Th     46 Cudmore Grove   te   4   0.34   0.02   0.17   0.01   Th   ●     46 Cudmore Grove, sample PE   te   2   0.36   0.00   0.20   0.00   Th   ●     48 Belhus Park   te   1   0.38   0.01   0.18   0.01   Th   ●     9 Purfleet, 6   te   2   0.38   0.01   0.20   0.01   Th   ●     50 Barling   te   2   0.40   0.20   0.01   Th   ●   ●   ●     51 Shoeburyness   te   2   0.40   0.20   0.01   Th   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ● <td>. 7</td>	. 7
43 Aveley   te   4   0.32   0.20   0.16   0.01     44 West Wittering   te   3   0.32   0.00   0.16   0.00     45 Bushley Green   te   1   0.34   0.00   0.16   0.00     46 Cudmore Grove   te   4   0.34   0.02   0.19   0.01   Th     46 Cudmore Grove   te   4   0.34   0.02   0.17   0.01   Th   ●     46 Cudmore Grove, sample PE   te   2   0.36   0.00   0.20   0.00   Th   ●     48 Belhus Park   te   1   0.38   0.01   0.18   0.01   Th   ●     9 Purfleet, 6   te   2   0.38   0.01   0.20   0.01   Th   ●     50 Barling   te   2   0.40   0.20   0.01   Th   ●   ●   ●     51 Shoeburyness   te   2   0.40   0.20   0.01   Th   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ● <td>7</td>	7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7 7
44 West Writtering   te   3   0.22   0.00   0.16   0.00     45 Bushley Green   te   1   0.34   0.00   0.16   0.00   SA     46 Gudmore Grove   te   4   0.34   0.00   0.16   0.00   SA     47 Hackney Downs   te   2   0.34   0.00   0.17   0.01   Th   Th     48 Belhus Park   te   1   0.36   0.00   0.20   0.00   Th   Th      49 Purfleet, 1   te   2   0.38   0.01   0.20   0.01   Th       49 Purfleet, 6   te   2   0.38   0.01   0.21   0.01   Th       51 Shoeburyness   te   2   0.38   0.01   0.21   0.01   Th         52 Grays   te   2   0.39   0.01   0.21   0.01	7
46 Cudmore Grove   te   4   0.34   0.20   0.19   0.11   Th   ●     47 Hackney Downs   te   2   0.36   0.01   0.17   0.11   Th   ●     46 Cudmore Grove, sample PE   te   2   0.36   0.01   0.20   0.01   Th   ●     48 Behus Park   te   1   0.36   0.02   0.20   0.02   Th   ●     50 Barling   te   1   0.38   0.01   0.81   0.11   Th   ●     51 Shoeburyness   te   2   0.36   0.01   0.20   0.01   Th   ●     52 Grays   te   2   0.40   0.10   0.20   0.01   Th   ●     53 Marks Tey   te   2   0.40   0.01   0.21   0.01   Th   ●     54 Trimingham   te   3   0.40   0.22   0.01   Th   ●   ●   ●     55 Hoxne, Stratum B2, 64   te   13   0.40   0.22   0.20   I   ●   ●   ●   ●	1
46 Cudmore Grove, sample PE   te   2   0.36   0.02   0.20   0.00     48 Belhus Park   te   1   0.36   0.02   0.20   0.00   Th   Im   Im <tdi< td=""><td>9</td></tdi<>	9
48 Belhus Park   te   1   0.36   0.20   0.10   10   0.20   0.01   0.21   0.01   0.21   0.01   0.21   0.01   0.21   0.01   0.21   0.01   0.21   0.01   0.21   0.01   0.21   0.01   0.20	9 9
50 Barling   te   4   0.38   0.01   0.20   0.01   Th   ▲     49 Purfleet, 6   te   24   0.38   0.01   0.20   0.01   Th   ▲   ▲   ▼     51 Shoeburyness   te   5   0.39   0.01   0.20   0.01   ~   Th   ▲   ▲   ▼     52 Grays   te   2   0.40   0.01   0.22   0.01   Th   ▲   ▲   ▼     53 Marks Tey   te   2   0.40   0.02   0.22   0.01   Th   ▲	9 9
51 Shoceburyness te 5 0.39 0.01 0.20 0.01 10   52 Grays te 2 0.40 0.01 0.20 0.01 10   53 Marks Tey te 2 0.40 0.01 0.22 0.01   48 Belhus Park, BP18 te 4 0.40 0.01 0.21 0.01   54 Trimingham te 13 0.40 0.02 0.01   55 Hoxne, Stratum B2, 64 te 4 0.40 0.22 0.01	9
53 Marks Tey te 2 0.40 0.01 0.22 0.01 48 Belhus Park, BP18 te 4 0.40 0.01 0.22 0.01 54 Trimingham te 13 0.40 0.01 0.22 0.01 55 Hoxne, Stratum B2, 64 te 4 0.40 0.02 0.22 0.01	9
48 Behus Park, BP18 te 4 0.40 0.01 0.21 0.01 11 ↔ 111 ↔ 111 ↔ 111 ↔ 111 0.11 0.	9 11
55 Hoxne, Stratum B2, 64 te 4 0.40 0.02 0.22 0.01 •	9
So barringarin, bcr-92     J     So b40     U22     U21     U.01     U2     U21     U.01     U21     U11	11
57 Elveden, ELV 95 te 5 0.41 0.01 0.21 0.01 58 Swanscombe (Barnfield Pit) te 6 0.42 0.01 0.24 0.03 56 Barnham, BEF93 te 6 0.42 0.02 0.20 0.02 ↑ ♦ ♦	11
56 Barnham, BEF93 te 6 0.42 0.02 0.20 0.02	11
59 Woodston te 4 0.42 0.01 0.23 0.01 NW	11 11
55 Hoxne, Stratum E te 4 0.42 0.01 0.23 0.01 ▲ 60 West Stow (Beeches Pit) te 4 0.42 0.01 0.25 0.01 ●	11
60 West Stow (Beeches Pit) te 4 0.42 0.01 0.25 0.01 • 61 Dierden's Pit (Ingress Vale) tr 2 0.43 0.01 0.22 0.01 Th ↔	11
	11 11
61 Dierden's Pit (Ingress Vale) te 4 0.45 0.01 0.21 0.01 Th ⊗ X 63 Clacton-on-Sea te 4 0.46 0.01 0.25 0.02 Th ⊗ ↓	11 11
Go Guadan Ari Good     In	13? 13?
65 Sidestrand, Lower Unio-Bed te 5 0.55 0.01 0.29 0.01	13?
66 Sugworth     tr     6     0.56     0.01     0.28     0.01     Th     •       67 Little Oakley     tr     2     0.56     0.00     0.28     0.00     •     •	15? 15?
	17/151 17/151
	17/151 31?
71 Weybourne (Weybourne Crag) tr 2 0.89 0.01 0.69 0.03	511
72 Tegelen tr 4 0.90 0.01 0.75 0.03 71 Weybourne (Weybourne Crag) te 1 0.92 0.00 0.79 0.01	
73 Thorpe Aldringham (N. Crag)     sp.     1     0.94     0.00     0.84     0.04       74 Frechen (Pliocene)     te     4     0.93     0.01     0.91     0.02	



Figure 2 | Amino acid decomposition at sites of known age. Comparison of racemization in *Bithynia* opercula for FAA aspartic acid (Asx), THAA alanine (Ala) and valine (Val) for all sites with independent geochronology. *Y*-axis error bars indicate one standard deviation about the mean for the site. *X*-axis error bars are not shown for the Early Pleistocene and Pliocene samples, as these estimates of age are not based on numerical methods. Note the rapid racemization in Asx at relatively young sites and the plateau beyond ~0.5 Myr; Asx is therefore most valuable for separating sites younger than MIS 9. In contrast, Val racemizes more slowly and provides poorer resolution for younger sites, but is able to differentiate between sites back to the Pliocene. Using several amino acids with different rates of degradation therefore enables greater age resolution. The increase in racemization is not linear with time, but slows during cold stages. Inset: shell (**a**) and operculum (**b**) of *Bithynia tentaculata*.

amino acid values from the Thames sequence, the most complete British fluvial archive<sup>17–19</sup>, clearly correspond with discrete terrace aggradations (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Data 2). Four interglacials after the Anglian (MIS 12) stage are represented in this system, assigned to MIS 11, 9, 7 and 5e on the basis that each aggradation formed during a complete glacial/interglacial cycle<sup>6,16</sup>. Although this relationship might not hold for all rivers<sup>20</sup>, a similar pattern exists between D/L values and terraces in other systems, such as the Severn/Avon<sup>21</sup>, Trent/Witham<sup>22</sup> and Nene/Welland<sup>23</sup>. Support for these interpretations comes from integrating several lines of evidence (for example, biostratigraphy<sup>4,5</sup> and some of the original A/I data<sup>16</sup>), not all of which are wholly independent. However, the ability of the method to differentiate between terrace aggradations (Supplementary Data 2) is not reliant on other

Figure 1 Intra-crystalline amino acid data from the opercula of *Bithynia* from sites in southern Britain. Sites were chosen because they (1) are stratotypes (in bold) of various interglacial stages, (2) have independent geochronology, (3) occur within a fluvial terrace sequence, or (4) have relative ages based on biostratigraphy (Supplementary Data 1). Some additional sites, including three key continental Plio-Pleistocene localities, are listed to show how they fit into this general framework. Sites are listed in rank order based on the THAA Ala D/L value, the most useful single measurement covering the timescales under discussion, but full interpretation requires consideration of the overall IcPD (Supplementary Data 1). Our data are shown alongside terrace stratigraphy (NW: Nene/Welland; SA: Severn/Avon; Th: Thames; TW: Trent/ Witham), occurrences of important biostratigraphic indicator species (?, indirect association), in situ archaeology and existing consensus views on correlation with the MIS record. Age attributions reliant on amino acid dating alone are excluded. The occurrence of the water vole Arvicola (in grey) is only shown for pre-Anglian sites (that is, pre-MIS 12). Mimomys is shown in brown. Uptriangles, archaeology found in overlying sediments; downtriangles, archaeology found in underlying sediments; crosses, archaeology from the same horizon as the opercula analysed; diamonds, indirect association (that is, archaeology recorded from the site but not this profile). Bithynia tentaculata (L.) does not occur throughout the British Pleistocene, so where necessary we have used other species of Bithynia (b/t, B. bavelensis/tentaculata; le, B. leachii; te, B. tentaculata; tr, B. troschelii). L., lower; Lmst, lowermost; N., north; U., upper.



**Figure 3** | **THAA versus FAA** D/L **Ala for individual samples in relation to terrace stratigraphy. a**, **b**, Idealised transverse sections through the Lower Thames terrace sequence<sup>19</sup> (**a**) and THAA versus FAA D/L Ala (**b**). Data from Thames sites (coloured) are superimposed on the full data set. D, Devensian. Note the concordance of terrace aggradations with the extent of protein degradation. This finding is consistent in all four river systems tested (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Data 2). The relation of FAA:THAA is a useful control on the

D/L FAA Ala 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.0 Upper Palaeolithic Levallois 0.2 D/L THAA Ala 0.4 Clactoniar 0.6 Mode 0.8 Star Carr, 2 Purfleet 1 Purfleet 6 Sproughtor Grays Stanton Harcourt • Belhus Park, BP 18 Stutton Marks Tey Somersham B. tentaculata Hoxne, Stratum B2, 64 Ebbsfleet, U. loam, U. part Barnham B. troschel Crayford Elveden, 96 Maidenhall Elveden, 95 Vest Thurrock, 2000 Swanscombe Stoke Tunnel 0 Barnham B. tentaculata Lion Pit, 5 Woodston Avelev Hoxne Stratum F Somersham B. troschelii Beeches Pit V Ebbsfleet, U. loam, L. part Dierden's Pit B troschelii West Thurrock, 4 Hoxne, Straum B2, 50 Ebbsfleet, Lowermost brickearth ٠ Southfleet Road West Thurrock, 3 Dierden's Pit B. tentacul Selsev ۵ Clacton Cudmore Grove Waverley Wood Cudmore Grove, PE Pakefield, PaCi Belhus Park Pakefield, PaCi

reliability of the closed system. For example, opercula distorted following deposition showed levels of racemization of THAA far lower than predicted from FAA<sup>14</sup>, indicating that during demineralization and distortion smaller, more mobile, amino acids were lost whereas larger peptides were retained during re-mineralization. Only  $\sim$ 1% of samples analysed here were compromised, such as the outlier from Sugworth (circled).

data and sites where the age attribution is based fundamentally on amino acid data are not assigned 'consensus MIS ages' in Fig. 1.

Bithynia is generally rare in cold-stage contexts, although it occurred commonly in the 'Upton Warren interstadial' deposit at Isleworth. This was originally thought to fall within the 'Middle Devensian' (MIS 3) on the basis of a radiocarbon date of  $\sim$ 43 kyr before present<sup>24</sup>. The Isleworth opercula IcPD is consistently higher than that from Cassington, a site tentatively correlated with MIS 5a (ref. 25), but lower than Last Interglacial opercula. Radiocarbon therefore provides only a minimum age for the Isleworth deposits. Our IcPD data indicate an earlier age and suggest that this new method can potentially be used to distinguish marine isotope sub-stages beyond the limits of radiocarbon dating.

Aminostratigraphic data also provide independent support for biostratigraphic age models developed for the Middle Pleistocene<sup>5,26,27</sup>. In the early Middle Pleistocene, the water vole *Arvicola* is thought to have replaced its ancestor *Mimomys savini* within a relatively short time over large regions of Europe<sup>3,27</sup>. This hypothesis gains support from our new data, which show that opercula from sites yielding *Arvicola* show less protein degradation than those containing *M. savini* (Fig. 1).

The occurrence of *Corbicula* (a bivalve) and *Hippopotamus* in the British Pleistocene is mutually exclusive<sup>5,26</sup>. At British sites securely attributable to the Last Interglacial (MIS 5e) *Corbicula* is absent;

Figure 4 Mean THAA versus FAA D/L Ala in relation to the occurrence of archaeology. Data from archaeological sites (coloured) are superimposed on the full data set. Error bars represent two standard deviations about the mean for each site. Symbols as in Fig. 1, with green signifying occurrence of both Clactonian and Acheulian at a site. The earliest Palaeolithic archaeology (Mode 1, that is, flake tools made with hard-hammers) comes from pre-Anglian contexts yielding *Mimomys savini*. Sites with unequivocal Clactonian (core-and-flake) archaeology all fall within MIS 11. Sites yielding Levallois artefacts form a temporally discrete cluster after MIS 9 but before MIS 5e. Archaeology is unknown at British sites attributed to the Last Interglacial (MIS 5e). Opercula have also been analysed from one Upper Palaeolithic and one Mesolithic site.

conversely, after MIS 12, *Hippopotamus* is only known from the Last Interglacial, and is therefore widely regarded as an 'indicator species' for MIS 5e<sup>4</sup>. Our data support these conclusions, as post-Anglian sites with *Hippopotamus* show less protein breakdown than sites yielding *Corbicula*, with levels of protein degradation consistent with attribution to MIS 5e.

The comparisons above demonstrate the remarkable consistency of our new method with independent lines of evidence. This comprehensive dating framework enables us to explore the British archaeological record. Our data show that human occupation occurred within at least two distinct pre-Anglian stages, the older (Pakefield) associated with Mimomys and the younger (Waverley Wood) with Arvicola. The conclusion that Waverley Wood is younger than the Cromerian stratotype at West Runton supports the biostratigraphic age model<sup>27</sup> and contradicts a conclusion reached in an earlier aminostratigraphical study<sup>2</sup>. Our data can provide age constraints for other archaeological assemblages, enabling attribution to specific marine isotope stages in younger deposits. The development of Levallois technology, characterized by the removal of flakes from specifically prepared cores, is unknown in Britain before MIS 9 (ref. 28). Archaeological evidence from MIS 9 is sparse, but the far better record from sites attributed to MIS 7 shows that Levallois industries had become dominant in southern England; our data support this view (Figs 1 and 4).

In recent years it has become clear that humans were absent from Britain during the Last Interglacial; earlier claims to the contrary have been shown to be based on misinterpretation of archaeological sites previously thought to be of Last Interglacial age (such as Aveley, Crayford, Grays, Purfleet and Stutton), invariably now assigned to earlier stages<sup>29</sup> (Supplementary Data 1). Our results confirm that no British archaeological site can be attributed to the Last Interglacial (Fig. 4), a conclusion consistent with human absence during this stage<sup>7,29</sup>.

This stratigraphical framework provides a secure basis for relating the British terrestrial sequence to global Quaternary climate records. This is fundamental to geological and archaeological research but, as importantly, it enables the rich British record to be used to test the ability of climate models to simulate pre-late Quaternary palaeoclimates. This dating technique offers a means of correlating terrestrial with marine and ice-core records, thereby increasing the confidence of model predictions<sup>30</sup>. Moreover, the calcitic opercula of bithyniid (or similar) gastropods occur commonly in many Quaternary sequences, offering potential for development of regional aminostratigraphies around the world.

#### Received 21 January; accepted 15 June 2011. Published online 31 July 2011.

- Miller, G. H., Hollin, J. T. & Andrews, J. T. Aminostratigraphy of UK Pleistocene deposits. *Nature* 281, 539–543 (1979).
- Bowen, D. Q., Hughes, S., Sykes, G. A. & Miller, G. H. Land-sea correlations in the Pleistocene based on isoleucine epimerization in non-marine molluscs. *Nature* 340, 49–51 (1989).
- von Koenigswald, W. & van Kolfschoten, T. in The early Middle Pleistocene in Europe. (ed. Turner, C.) 211–226 (Balkema, 1996).
- Schreve, D. C. Differentiation of the British late Middle Pleistocene interglacials: the evidence from mammalian biostratigraphy. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 20, 1693–1705 (2001).
- Keen, D. H. Towards a late Middle Pleistocene non-marine molluscan biostratigraphy for the British Isles. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 20, 1657–1665 (2001).
- Bridgland, D. Ř. The record from British Quaternary river systems within the context of global fluvial archives. J. Quaternary Sci. 25, 433–446 (2010).
  Ashton, N. & Lewis, S. G. Deserted Britain: declining populations in the British la
- Ashton, N. & Lewis, S. G. Deserted Britain: declining populations in the British late Middle Pleistocene. *Antiquity* 76, 388–396 (2002).
- Bridgland, D. R., Maddy, D. & Bates, M. River terrace sequences: templates for Quaternary geochronology and marine-terrestrial correlation. J. Quaternary Sci. 19, 203–218 (2004).
- Penkman, K. E. H., Kaufman, D. S., Maddy, D. & Collins, M. J. Closed-system behaviour of the intra-crystalline fraction of amino acids in mollusc shells. *Quaternary Geochronol.* 3, 2–25 (2008).

- Penkman, K. E. H. et al. Testing the aminostratigraphy of fluvial archives: the evidence from intra-crystalline proteins within freshwater shells. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 26, 2958–2969 (2007).
- Penkman, K. E. H., Preece, R. C., Keen, D. H. & Collins, M. J. Amino acid geochronology of the type Cromerian of West Runton, Norfolk, UK. *Quaternary Int.* 228, 25–37 (2010).
- Sykes, G. A., Collins, M. J. & Walton, D. I. The significance of a geochemically isolated intracrystalline fraction within biominerals. *Org. Geochem.* 23, 1059–1065 (1995).
- Kaufman, D. S. & Manley, W. F. A new procedure for determining DL amino acid ratios in fossils using reverse phase liquid chromatography. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 17, 987–1000 (1998).
- Preece, R. C. & Perkman, K. E. H. New faunal analyses and amino acid dating of the Lower Palaeolithic site at East Farm, Barnham, Suffolk. *Proc. Geol. Assoc.* **116**, 363–377 (2005).
- Bowen, D. Q. A Revised Correlation of Quaternary Deposits in the British Isles (Geological Society of London, Special Report) (Geological Society, 1999).
- Bridgland, D. R. River terrace systems in north-west Europe: an archive of environmental change, uplift and early human occupation. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 19, 1293–1303 (2000).
- 17. Gibbard, P. The Pleistocene History of the Lower Thames Valley (Cambridge University Press, 1994).
- 18. Bridgland, D. R. Quaternary of the Thames (Chapman and Hall, 1994).
- Bridgland, D. R. The Middle and Upper Pleistocene sequence in the Lower Thames: a record of Milankovitch climatic fluctuation and early human occupation of southern Britain: Henry Stopes Memorial Lecture. *Proc. Geol. Assoc.* 117, 281–305 (2006).
- Bridgland, D. R. *et al.* The Palaeolithic occupation of Europe as revealed by evidence from the rivers: data from IGCP 449. *J. Quaternary Sci.* 21, 437–455 (2006).
- Maddy, D., Keen, D. H., Bridgland, D. R. & Green, C. P. A revised model for the Pleistocene development of the River Avon, Warwickshire. J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 148, 473–484 (1991).
- White, T. S., Bridgland, D. R. & Howard, A. J. in *The Quaternary of the Trent Valley and Adjoining Regions: Field Guide* (eds White, T. S., Bridgland, D. R., Howard, A. J., & White, M. J.) 10–23 (Quaternary Research Association, 2007).
- Boreham, S., White, T. S., Bridgland, D. R., Howard, A. J. & White, M. J. The Quaternary history of the Wash fluvial network. *Proc. Geol. Assoc.* **121**, 393–409 (2010).
- Coope, G. R. & Angus, R. B. An ecological study of a temperate interlude in the middle of the last glaciation, based on fossil Coleoptera from Isleworth, Middlesex. J. Anim. Ecol. 44, 365–391 (1975).
- Maddy, D. et al. The Upper Pleistocene deposits at Cassington, near Oxford, England. J. Quaternary Sci. 13, 205–231 (1998).
- Meijer, T. & Preece, R. C. A review of the occurrence of Corbicula in the Pleistocene of North-west Europe. Netherlands J. Geosci. 79 241–255 (2000).
- Preece, R. C. et al. Biostratigraphic and aminostratigraphic constraints on the age of the Middle Pleistocene glacial succession in North Norfolk, UK. J. Quaternary Sci. 24, 557–580 (2009).
- White, M. J. & Ashton, N. Lower Palaeolithic core technology and the origins of the Levallois method in North-Western Europe. Curr. Anthropol. 44, 598–609 (2003).
- Lewis, S. G., Ashton, N. & Jacobi, R. in *The Ancient Human Occupation of Britain* (eds N. Ashton, S.G. Lewis & C. Stringer) 125–164 (Elsevier, 2010).
- Jansen, E. et al. in Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (eds Solomon, S. et al.) (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007).

Supplementary Information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Acknowledgements We thank D. Maddy, P. Allen, N. Ashton, D. Bain, M. Bates, S. Boreham, D. Bowen, R. Briant, C. Buckingham, J. Clayden, G. R. Coope, A. Cruickshanks, P. Dark, B. Demarchi, M. Greenwood, D. Kaufman, T. van Kolfschoten, H. Langford, S. Lewis, R. Markham, D. Mayhew, H. Roe, J. Rose, D. Schreve, R. Scott, K. Scott, C. Stringer, R.Waghorne, M. Warren and F. Wenban-Smith for providing some of the material analysed and general discussion. C. Helmsley and J. Todd released material from the Natural History Museum, London, for destructive analysis. R. Allen provided technical support. G. Peeters gave permission to reproduce images of *Bithynia*. The analyses were funded by English Heritage, NERC and the Wellcome Trust (grant GR076905MA). This is a contribution to the Ancient Human Occupation of Britain (AHOB) project funded by the Leverhulme Trust.

Author Contributions K.E.H.P., M.J.C., R.C.P. and D.H.K. designed the study. R.C.P., D.H.K., T.M., D.R.B., S.A.P., T.S.W. and K.E.H.P. supplied samples. K.E.H.P. undertook the analyses and processed the data. K.E.H.P., M.J.C., R.C.P. and T.S.W. wrote the paper. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Author Information Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of this article at www.nature.com/nature.Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.E.H.P. (kirsty.penkman@york.ac.uk).